

Hoardings from the European Bronze and Iron Ages

Current Research and New Perspectives

Edited by

MARCIN MACIEJEWSKI, JÁNOS GÁBOR TARBAY, AND KAMIL NOWAK

BREPOLS

Table of Contents

1. Hoards Research — Past, Present, Future	13
A Few Words of Introduction Marcin MACIEJEWSKI, János Gábor TARBAY, and Kamil NOWAK	
2. In an Interpretive Triangle	21
Main Trends in Research on Hoards in the Nineteenth and Twentieth Centuries: A Central European Perspective Wojciech BLAJER	
3. The Cognitive Development of Prehistoric Wetland Deposition Tradition Through Mnemonics	31
Case Studies of Iron Age Wales and Scotland Tiffany TREADWAY	
4. <i>There Is a Light that Never Goes out!</i>	41
New and Old Hoards from the Northern Adriatic Martina BLEČIĆ KAVUR	
5. The Urnfield-Period Metal Hoards in South Bohemia	53
Find Circumstances, Topography, and Analyses Ondřej CHVOJKA, Jan JOHN, Jiří KMOŠEK, and Tereza ŠÁLKOVÁ	
6. An Active Search for Hoards? Contributions of a Systematic Field Survey to the Knowledge of Bronze Age Metal Hoarding	69
The Case Study of Salins-les-Bains, Jura, France Estelle GAUTHIER and Jean-François PININGRE	
7. Ice-Marginal Valleys and Hoards	91
Natural Landscapes, Cultural Practices, and their Amazing Convergence in Different Regions of Central Europe (Poland) Marcin MACIEJEWSKI	
8. Twin Hoards and Hoard Selections from Late Bronze Age Transdanubia	107
János Gábor TARBAY	
9. Late Bronze Age Hoard from Nowe Kramsko	131
Is There a Method in Fragments? Kamil NOWAK and Nicola IALONGO	

- 10. Comparative Technological Analysis of Middle Bronze Age Bronze Objects from Hoards and Burials** 149
Sylvia GYÖNGYÖSI, Laura JUHÁSZ, Péter BARKÓCZY, Julianna CSEH, and Géza SZABÓ
- 11. Re-theorizing Deposition in Bronze Age Europe** 171
Kristian KRISTIANSEN

List of Illustrations

3. The Cognitive Development of Prehistoric Wetland Deposition Tradition Through Mnemonics — *Tiffany Treadway*

Figure 3.1.	Handles from the Nant-y-cafn hoard reported from Wales in 1875.	34
Figure 3.2.	One of the many tyres from the Llyn Cerrig Bach hoard reported from Wales in 1942–1945.	34
Figure 3.3.	Preferred wetland depositional landscapes observed from case studies sourced from British Iron Age Wales and Scotland.	35
Figure 3.4.	Associated sediment types observed from preferred wetland locations for deposition.	36
Figure 3.5.	Associated object types by quantity in comparison with the different wetland landscapes in which they were reported.	37

4. *There Is a Light that Never Goes out!* — *Martina Blečić Kavur*

Figure 4.1.	Geographical position of Istria and Kvarner with sites discussed in the text.	42
Figure 4.2.	1–3: Late Bronze Age hoard from Monte Maestá; 4: winged axe from Tribalj; 5: socket axe from Osor.	43
Figure 4.3.	Late Bronze Age hoard from Moravička Sela.	44
Figure 4.4.	Distribution of double picks of Carpathian types (●) and miniature double picks (▲).	44
Figure 4.5.	1–3: Late Bronze Age hoard from Baredine; 4: axe from Bujje; 5: mould from Sermin.	45
Figure 4.6.	Late Bronze Age hoard from Prem.	45
Figure 4.7.	Spatial distribution of hooks in the third and second millennia BC.	46
Figure 4.8.	Distribution map of the winged axes of Ansciano, Ponte S. Giovanni, and Teor types and mould from Sermin.	47
Figure 4.9.	Early Iron Age hoard from Malinica.	48
Figure 4.10.	Distribution of the Iron Age hoards of the Bologna, San Francesco-Šempeter type.	49

5. The Urnfield-Period Metal Hoards in South Bohemia — *Ondřej Chvojka, Jan John, Jiří Kmošek and Tereza Šálková*

Figure 5.1.	Current state of knowledge of Bronze Age hoards in southern Bohemia.	54
Figure 5.2.	Chronological distribution of Urnfield-Period hoards in southern Bohemia.	54
Figure 5.3.	Všeteč. An example of a hoard (Br C ₂ /D) documented in situ in the field (A) and subsequently after laboratory preparation (B).	55
Figure 5.4.	Staré Sedlo. Hoard of mostly complete products from the Br D–Ha A ₁ stages.	56
Figure 5.5.	Examples of prestigious artefacts from the Urnfield-Period hoards from southern Bohemia. 1–3: Olešná 2; 4: Držov; 5: Paseky.	57
Figure 5.6.	Paseky. A hoard of six identical sickles.	57
Figure 5.7.	Chřešřovice. Spatial connection between the Final Bronze Age hillfort (no. 1) and a hoard of the same time (no. 2).	58
Figure 5.8.	Metal hoards (black triangles) of the stages Br C ₂ /D in southern Bohemia.	59
Figure 5.9.	Metal hoards (black triangles) of the stages Br D–Ha A ₂ /B ₁ in southern Bohemia.	59
Figure 5.10.	Metal hoards (black triangles) of the stages Ha B ₁ –3 in southern Bohemia.	60
Figure 5.11.	Rataje. A: location of two hoards in the area of the Late Bronze Age settlement (hatched); B: profile of the settlement layer (1002) with hoard no. 1; C: hoard no. 2 in a ceramic container.	60
Figure 5.12.	Krtely. Hoard of bronze products, their fragments, and copper ingots from a burial mound.	61
Figure 5.13.	Classification of south Bohemian Urnfield-Period hoards after their topography.	62

Figure 5.14.	Paseky. Topography of six hoards (Br D–Ha A) in the Písecké Mountains.	62
Figure 5.15.	Box plot of lead content in final products and copper ‘cake’ ingots from the Krtely hoard (<i>unpublished data</i>). Values are given in percentages.	63
Figure 5.16.	Staré Hodějovice. Comparison of the lead isotope ratios $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$, $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ and $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$, $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$, of the analysed samples with copper ore and slag from the Erzgebirge, Bohemian Massif, Mitterberg region, Valais region, and south-eastern Alps region.	65
Table 5.1.	Results of portable XRF analyses of sickles from the Paseky hoard and cups from Olešná 2.	64

6. An Active Search for Hoards? Contributions of a Systematic Field Survey to the Knowledge of Bronze Age Metal Hoarding — *Estelle Gauthier and Jean-François Piningre*

Figure 6.1.	General map of the discoveries in the vicinity of Salins-les-Bains.	72
Figure 6.2.	Composition of the hoards of the sector of Salins-les-Bains: a) total number of items per hoard; b) total mass hoarded.	73
Figure 6.3.	Dating of the hoards of Salins-les-Bains.	73
Figure 6.4.	The hoard of Saizenay is representative of the hoards from the Middle/Late Bronze Age transition, composed of ingots and axe-head fragments.	74
Figure 6.5.	Hoard 12 from Pretin, dating from Bz D2/Ha A1, consists of 164 fragments belonging to a wide variety of functional categories.	74
Figure 6.6.	Plan of hoard 18 from Pretin: the fragments are scattered over a surface of approximately 75 m ² .	75
Figure 6.7.	The hoard of Lombard was discovered inside a crack of a large rock.	75
Figure 6.8.	The bronze objects of Chaumoisi d’Amont hoard 1 were grouped under a clay layer and a dense cover of flat stone slabs.	76
Figure 6.9.	Topographic profile of the hoard of Chay: the main cluster of objects (probably corresponding to the original position of the hoard) is located in the middle of the slope, at some distance from the large blocks at the edge of the sinkhole.	77
Figure 6.10.	Hoard 15 from Pretin was buried at the foot of large stone blocks, standing out clearly in the landscape.	78
Figure 6.11.	The visual relationships between the hoarding places correspond to an ‘intervisibility web’ covering the central sector around the Camp du Château and Mont Poupet.	79
Figure 6.12.	Visible areas from the Camp du Château and Mont Poupet: almost all the hoards of the central sector are visible from both of these two points.	81
Figure 6.13.	Hoard 1 from Malans is representative of the very small hoards that constitute the majority of discoveries in the region of Salins.	83
Figure 6.14.	The length of the hoarded fragments seems to be standardized. a) Compared to the estimated length of the complete objects, the measured length of the hoarded pieces is concentrated at around 3–5 cm. b) The comparison of the length of the objects from the hoard of Chay to the estimated length of complete objects (‘fragmentable’ objects only: excluding foundry waste and very small objects) suggests a deliberate equalization of their length.	83
Figure 6.15.	The pins of hoard 1 of Bois Bovard were found between two prominent blocks, both folded in half in the same way, then stuck vertically into the ground, in parallel, with the fold upwards.	84
Figure 6.16.	Hypothetical model of the territory controlled by the hillfort of the Camp du Château during the Middle Bronze Age and the beginning of the Late Bronze Age.	86

7. Ice-Marginal Valleys and Hoards — *Marcin Maciejewski*

Figure 7.1.	Map showing the location of Rosko, Karmin, and the Toruń–Eberswalde and Baruth–Głogów ice-marginal valleys.	92
Figure 7.2.	Location of the Late Bronze Age and Early Iron Age archaeological sites near Rosko, including hoards.	94
Figure 7.3.	Terrain shape and the hydrological network of the Warta–Noteć interfluvial dune fields compared to other geographical regions of the Toruń–Eberswalde ice-marginal valley and the surrounding Pomeranian and Wielkopolska Lakelands.	96
Figure 7.4.	Location of the Karmin hoards along with the artefacts from Wrocław collections.	98
Figure 7.5.	The potential crossing of the Barycz Valley, taking into account the Late Bronze Age and the Early Iron Age archaeological sites.	100

Figure 7.6.	Potential visibility from where hoard II (A), hoard I (B), hoard IV (C), hoard III (D), and a bronze socketed axe (F) were discovered, as well as potential visibility from the site of the hypothetical settlement on the southern edge of the Barycz Valley — Postolin Sites 2 and 26 (E) and from the settlement at the bottom of the Barycz Valley — Kaszowo Site 3 (G).	101
8. Twin Hoards and Hoard Selections from Late Bronze Age Transdanubia — János Gábor Tarbay		
Figure 8.1.	The selection of the Budakeszi A and B hoards.	108
Figure 8.2.	Selected images of the metalwork wear analysis of Budakeszi-Őzvölgy-tető, hoard A: A. sharpened knobbed sickle edge with notches, B. hammer marks related to partition, C. blade of an as-cast Palotabozsok type axe, D. worn breakage surface of a socketed axe.	110
Figure 8.3.	Selected images of the metalwork wear analysis of the Budakeszi-Őzvölgy-tető, hoard B: A. worn-out patterns of a spearhead, B. multiple worn notches along the cutting edge of a spearhead, C. scratches and worn notches along the cutting edge of a pseudo-winged axe.	111
Figure 8.4.	Metalwork wear analysis and context, Budakeszi-Őzvölgy-tető hoards A and B.	113
Figure 8.5.	The selection of the Kesztlőc-Bodzás-dűlő hoard.	114
Figure 8.6.	Metalwork wear analysis and reconstructed context, Kesztlőc-Bodzás-dűlő.	115
Figure 8.7.	The selection of the Nagydém-Gerha-dűlő hoards.	117
Figure 8.8.	The selection of the Hódmezővásárhely-Fehértó hoards.	118
Figure 8.9.	Correspondence analysis of the typological content of Ha A2/Ha B1, Ha A–Ha B1, and Ha B1 hoards from Transdanubia.	120
Figure 8.10.	Technological groups of Ha A2/Ha B1, Ha A–Ha B1, and Ha B1 hoards from Transdanubia.	121
Figure 8.11.	Correspondence analysis of the fragmentation of Ha A2/Ha B1, Ha A–Ha B1, and Ha B1 hoards from Transdanubia.	122
Figure 8.12.	Transdanubian selection groups based on fragmentation and technological types.	123
9. Late Bronze Age Hoard from Nowe Kramsko — Kamil Nowak and Nicola Ialongo		
Figure 9.1.	Location of the hoard on the map of Poland.	132
Figure 9.2.	The inventory of the hoard from Nowe Kramsko.	133
Figure 9.3.	The metalworker's tool kit from the hoard inventory.	134
Figure 9.4.	Traces of manufacture and use wear on the socketed axes and sickles.	136
Figure 9.5.	The manufacture and use-wear traces observed on the sickles and spearheads.	138
Figure 9.6.	Ornaments: the preparation for use: grinding, decorating, separating of the casting jet.	139
Figure 9.7.	Smashing and crushing objects causes jagged and uneven fracture edges.	140
Figure 9.8.	Traces of intentional and planned separation fragments from the sickles.	141
Figure 9.9.	Traces of intentional and planned separating of the bracelet fragments. The arrow marks the saw cut.	142
Figure 9.10.	Cosine Quantogram Analysis (CQA). Analysis of bronze fragments and balance weights. A: Quantogram of the fragments of Nowe Kramsko is compared with the quantograms of balance weights and bronze fragments from in Central Europe. B: Quantogram of the total sample of fragments from Central Europe and Nowe Kramsko, compared with the quantograms of the fragments from Central Europe (without Nowe Kramsko) and balance weights.	145
Table 9.1.	Use-wear, preparation, and manufacture traces on the complete objects.	143
Table 9.2.	Use-wear, preparation, and manufacture traces on the fragmented objects.	143
10. Comparative Technological Analysis of Middle Bronze Age Bronze Objects from Hoards and Burials — Szilvia Gyöngyösi, Laura Juhász, Péter Barkóczy, Julianna Cseh and Géza Szabó		
Figure 10.1.	The location of the hoards and cemetery (a) and objects from the different hoards: cemetery of Vértesszőlős (b) and Zalasabar hoard (c).	151
Figure 10.2.	Micrographs of bronze needle V2 from the Vértesszőlős hoard. Sample prepared by K ₂ CrO ₄ and photos taken in polarized illumination.	153
Figure 10.3.	Micrographs of pendant V29 from the Vértesszőlős hoard. Sample prepared by etching in K ₂ CrO ₄ and photos taken in polarized illumination (a, b) which show the grain structure. Sample etched by FeCl ₃ and photos taken in bright field illumination (c, d). In these pictures the inclusions can be seen.	153

- Figure 10.4. Micrographs of hemispherical stud V40 from the Vértesszőlős hoard. Sample prepared by etching in K_2CrO_4 and photos taken in polarized illumination (a). Recrystallized grain structure can be seen on the photo with medium-sized grains. Small elongated CuS inclusions can be found in the sample after $FeCl_3$ etching in bright field illumination (b). SEM photo and element map show the CuS inclusions in larger magnification (c, d). 154
- Figure 10.5. Micrographs taken from the Ω -shaped pendant V64. The colour etching reveals the grain structure (a, b). A duplex grain structure can be seen, with a far smaller grain size on one side of the pendant (b). Globular small-sized copper sulphide and lead inclusions can be observed in a bright field image (c). 155
- Figure 10.6. The wire arm ring V75 has a recrystallized structure (a). In a bright field micrograph larger and smaller inclusions can be seen (b). The majority of the inclusions are copper sulphide, but a few lead inclusions also exist. 156
- Figure 10.7. The colour etching reveals a recrystallized grain structure of the wire spiral beads. Larger and smaller inclusions can be seen in the bright field micrograph (b). The large inclusions are copper sulphide ones, the smaller ones are lead inclusions. The sulphide inclusions have an elongated shape (d). Next to the lead inclusions tin and antimony inclusions appear near the corrosion layer (c). 157
- Figure 10.8. The microstructure of the omega-shaped pedant (2010.2.21). The grain structure is recrystallized (a). There are inclusions with a slightly elongated shape in the microstructure (b). 158
- Figure 10.9. The omega-shaped pedant (2010.2.27) has a recrystallized grain structure (a). The microstructure built up by medium-sized grains. There are a lot of globular sulphide inclusions in the microstructure (b). 159
- Figure 10.10. Dendritic microstructures show the as-cast state of the omega-shaped pedant (2010.2.39). In higher magnification intermetallic phases can be seen, which form due to the microsegregation process during crystallization (b). 159
- Figure 10.11. Intensive general corrosion process reduced the metallic cross-section of the inverted heart pendant (2010.2.48). Recrystallized grain structure revealed by the colour etching (a). Medium-sized elongated copper sulphide inclusions exist in the microstructure too (b). 160
- Figure 10.12. The crescent-shaped pendant (2010.2.59) has a crystallized microstructure. Large dendritic grains built up the microstructure (a). The small secondary dendrite arm spacing (b) suggests a high cooling rate. 160
- Figure 10.13. The wires needed to be annealed before winding to make the spectacle spiral pendant (2010.2.61). Accordingly, the optical micrograph shows a recrystallized grain structure (a). Small copper sulphide and lead inclusions can be seen in the microstructure (b). 161
- Figure 10.14. The colour etching of spiral plate bead (2010.2.71) revealed a recrystallized grain structure. In the bright field image (b) the microsegregation outlines the dendritic structure of the cast precursor. Additionally, small inclusions can also be seen in the microstructure. 161
- Figure 10.15. The bronze pin (2010.2.78) has a recrystallized grain structure which can clearly be seen in the colour etched structure (a) and in the bright field (b) image. Small, elongated inclusions can also be seen in the bright field image. 162
- Figure 10.16. Optical microscopic images of spiral wire bead BQ200 (J4) (a). SEM-EDS element map shows the silver segregation in the corrosion layer. 163
- Figure 10.17. Micrographs taken from spiral wire beads BQ84 (J5) (a), BQ84 (J6) (b), BQ31 (J3) (c), and BQ151 (J12) (d). 163
- Figure 10.18. Optical microscopic images taken from object BQ114 (J4) (a) and BQ114 (J17) (b). The pendants are affected by strong corrosion. Just a few grains can be seen in the metallic part of the section. 164
- Figure 10.19. Photos and bright field optical microscopic images of wire arm ring BQ231 (J1) (a), BQ231 (J2) (b) and BQ231 (J3) (c). Strong corrosion effects can be observed in the micrographs. 165
- Figure 10.20. Optical micrographs of BQ231 (J3) wire arm ring. Image was taken in polarized illumination after etching by K_2CrO_4 (a) and in bright field illumination after $FeCl_3$ etching. 166
- Figure 10.21. Optical micrographs of arm ring BQ209 in polarized light, $50\times$ magnification (a). SEM micrograph, $5000\times$ magnification (b). 166

Table 10.1.	The average chemical composition of the objects from the Vértesszőlős cemetery. The composition measured by SEM-EDS technique and presented in w / w% units.	158
Table 10.2.	Average chemical composition of the objects examined from the Zalasabar hoard. The concentration values in the table are in w / w% unit.	162
Table 10.3.	The average composition of the examined objects from the Bonyhád cemetery. The concentration is in w / w% units.	167
11. Re-theorizing Deposition in Bronze Age Europe — Kristian Kristiansen		
Figure 11.1.	Model for depositional variability. The papers of this book reflect most of the variation in Fig. 11.1.	173