

Crypto as Material Property under Swiss Law

Andri Abbühl

Contents

Preface	VII
Content overview	IX
List of abbreviations	XVII
Table of cases	XXV
Germany.....	XXV
New Zealand.....	XXV
Switzerland.....	XXVI
United Kingdom.....	XXVI
United States.....	XXVI
Table of legislation, international treaties and conventions	XXVII
European Union.....	XXVII
Germany.....	XXVIII
Switzerland.....	XXVIII
Table of legislative materials, policy and other official documents	XXXI
European Union.....	XXXI
Liechtenstein.....	XXXI
United Kingdom.....	XXXI
Switzerland.....	XXXI
Germany.....	XXXI
Intergovernmental bodies.....	XXXII
 Introduction	 1
A. Law and innovation	1
B. Fact and right	3
C. Matter and information	7
D. Some premises of this work	10
E. Structure of this study	11
 II. Material property framework	 13
A. Introduction to property as exclusive authority over goods	13
1. Property as right to a thing.....	13
2. Private authority to exclude others from goods.....	13

C. Efficiency	58
1. Interplay of efficiency and autonomy	59
2. Positive and negative effects	62
a. Positive effects	63
aa. Trespassory rights (in principle)	63
bb. Relation to property in hardware	64
cc. Tragedy of the anticommons	65
dd. Transferability	66
b. Negative effects	68
3. Conclusion	69
D. Conclusion	69
 IV. Object of crypto property	 71
A. Preliminary remarks	71
B. Private key as the object of property?	72
C. UTXO model	73
D. Ethereum model	73
1. Cryptocurrencies balance	73
a. Thing vs denomination	73
b. Thing, entry, value	74
c. Conclusion	75
2. Tokens	75
a. Technically fungible tokens (contract balances)	76
b. Technically non-fungible tokens	77
E. Terminology	77
1. Asset vs thing	78
2. Token and tokenization	79
3. Crypto good	80
F. Conclusion	81
 V. Material property in Germany and Switzerland	 83
A. Civil law	83
1. Ancient Rome	84
a. Res and dominium	84
b. Complementary antonyms	86

c. Controllable perceivable things	87
d. Conceptual perception of casuistic Roman law	89
e. Dispositive and explanatory concepts	90
f. Corporeality as a functional concept?	91
g. Conclusion on Roman law.....	92
2. Physical property in Germany.....	93
3. Physical property in Swiss law	95
4. Opinions on crypto goods as physical property	97
a. Switzerland.....	98
aa. General literature overview.....	98
bb. Government's standpoint.....	99
cc. Arguments against crypto property based on the DLT act.....	100
(1) Reverse argument from art 242a SchKG	100
(2) Absolute protection of ledger-based securities.....	101
b. Germany.....	103
c. Theses on crypto property specifically	103
aa. Germany.....	103
bb. Switzerland.....	106
d. Synthesis.....	107
B. Common law.....	108
1. A third category of property.....	108
2. Factual control.....	110
3. Consequences	111
C. Conclusion	112
 VI. Crypto as material non-informational good.....	 113
A. Introduction	113
1. Laypeople's perspective on materiality.....	113
2. Duck test.....	115
3. Technical conditions of objects.....	117
B. Matter and information	117
1. Properties of information.....	119
2. Need for further inquiry	121
3. Semiotics	122
a. Signals, signs and data.....	122
b. Digression: data.....	123
c. Semiotic layers	124

4. Form of a structure	126
5. Crypto goods as material objects	127
6. Purely ideational entities based on a physical network?	130
a. Information and computer science	130
b. Immateriality as a linguistic problem?	134
aa. Intrinsic and relational properties	134
bb. Analytic and synthetic statements	135
cc. Crypto good as an un-analysed notion	136
7. Conclusion	137
C. Fact and proof	138
1. Securities	138
2. The ledger metaphor	140
3. Unidroit definition of digital assets	143
4. Distributed ledger as memory	144
D. Relevance for the perception of NFTs	146
1. NFTs and ‘abstract’ ownership in art?	146
a. Info Alpha saved on chain	147
b. Info Alpha not saved on chain	150
c. Conclusion: NFTs as authentic art objects?	150
2. Metaverse	151
E. Further issues related to materiality	152
1. Concurrence of hardware and crypto property?	152
a. Open systems	152
b. Issues related to private authority	153
aa. Use interest	154
bb. Pre-legal premise	154
cc. Blockchain infrastructure as a club good?	156
dd. Damage to hardware	156
ee. Difference to joint partial possession	157
2. Forks	158
3. Boundary issues	159
a. Safe cases	159
b. Minimum amount of participants (private networks)	159
c. Scroogecoin	160
d. Limits of the dichotomy	161
F. Selected aspects (raised by Swiss literature)	163
1. Publicity	163

2. Transportable from one place to another.....	164
3. Finality.....	165
4. Permanence.....	165
5. Principle of causality.....	166
6. Unjustified enrichment as a substitute?.....	167
G. Conclusion	168
 Conclusion.....	 171
 Bibliography	 173