

Propriété intellectuelle et développement durable

Intellectual Property & Sustainable Development

Olivia Dhordain, Nicolas Binctin, Peter Oksen/
Edward Kwakwa, Irene Calboli/Siroos Tanner,
Wolf R. Meier-Ewert

Actes de la Journée de Droit de la Propriété Intellectuelle du 7 février 2023



**UNIVERSITÉ
DE GENÈVE**
FACULTÉ DE DROIT

Schulthess
ÉDITIONS ROMANDES

§ 2024

Table des matières / *Table of contents*

Avant-propos	V
Sommaire / <i>Contents</i>	VII
Table des abréviations / <i>Table of abbreviations</i>	XIII

Luxury, IP and Sustainability – a Perspective

Olivia Dhordain

Introduction	1
I. Icons Versus Obsolescence	2
II. Green Trademarks and Greenwashing	4
III. Liberating Green Inventions	6
IV. Conclusion	8

La lutte contre l'écoblanchiment (*greenwashing*) Défis et développements récents

Nicolas Binctin

Introduction	11
I. Approche classique de l'écoblanchiment dans la communication commerciale	12
A. Construction de la notion	12
B. Renforcement de l'harmonisation européennes pour lutter contre les pratiques de concurrence déloyale liées à l'écoblanchiment	15
II. Extension du domaine de la lutte	19
A. <i>Intégration de la communication financière</i>	20
B. Prise en considération des actionnaires	23
III. Renouveau du greenwashing à l'aune du développement durable	26
A. Ecoblanchiment et développement économiquement efficace	26
B. Ecoblanchiment et développement socialement équitable	29
IV. Conclusion	31

How the IP System Promotes Sustainability – the WIPO GREEN Initiative

Peter Oksen/Edward Kwakwa

I.	Global Challenges, Innovation & Technology	33
A.	Technology in Climate Change	34
B.	Technology in Food Security	36
C.	The Innovation Ecosystem and Intellectual Property Rights	37
II.	WIPO's Response to Global Challenges	39
A.	The WIPO GREEN Database of Needs and Green Technologies ...	40
B.	The Green Technology Book	42
C.	Acceleration Projects	43
D.	IPO Green	45
E.	Supporting Development of Technologies	46
III.	Trends in Innovation and Technology in Global Challenges	47

Does Intellectual Property Promote or Hinder Sustainability? The Case of Upcycling

Irene Calboli/Siroos Tanner

	Introduction: Upcycling and Sustainability	51
I.	Legal Challenges Arising from Upcycling	53
A.	Intellectual Property Exhaustion and Its Limits	53
B.	Likelihood of Confusion, Dilution, and Counterfeiting Concerns	55
C.	Applicable Defenses	57
II.	Recent Decisions on Upcycling	59
A.	Harley-Davidson v. Urban Outfitters	59
B.	Hamilton International Ltd. v. Vortic LLC	60
C.	Rolex v. La Californienne	62
D.	PRL USA Holdings v. VNDS Los Angeles et al.	63
E.	Rolex Watch USA, Inc., v. BeckerTime LLC	64
F.	Chanel v. Shiver + Duke	65
G.	Louis Vuitton v. Sandra Ling Designs	67
H.	Nike, Inc. v. MSCHF Product Studio Inc.	68
J.	Main Takeaways	69
III.	Navigating Intellectual Property in a Circular Economy	70
IV.	Conclusion	72

The TRIPS Agreement and the Sustainable Disposal of IP-infringing Goods – Lessons from WTO Dispute Settlement Cases

Wolf R. Meier-Ewert

Introduction	73
I. Scope and contours of Article 46 TRIPS	75
A. Article 46 as an «empowerment norm» and its relationship to other disposal options	76
1. Remedies required by Article 46 are not exhaustive	77
2. Proportionality and the interest of third parties	80
3. The disposal of counterfeit trademark goods	82
B. Relationship to other possible measures	87
1. Obligations of the domestic authority ordering the remedy	88
2. Harm to the right holder	91
II. Conclusion	93