

Financial Regulation and its Effects on Trade and Investment Architecture

Considerations for the Future

DEEPALI FERNANDES

Table of Content

Foreword and Acknowledgements	V
Contents	VII
List of Abbreviations	XIX
List of Tables.....	XXI
List of Figures	XXV
Bibliography	XXVII
Secondary Sources.....	XXXIII
I. Overview, Literature Review and PHD Methodology	1
A. Thesis Value and Methodology	3
1. Objective and Questions to be Answered	3
2. Methodology	4
3. Relevance of the Thesis.....	6
4. Definitions and Concepts	7
B. Background on emerging post-2008 crisis financial regulation.....	8
C. Overview of the Interface between the Trade and Investment Architecture and the Evolving Financial Regulatory Architecture Post-2008.....	12
D. Inconsistencies and Contradictions arising out of the Interlinkage between the Trade and Investment Architecture and evolving Post-2008 Crisis	16
1. Investor and Financial Service Supplier Considerations → To what Extent do Aspects of the Post-2008 Financial Crisis Regulation conform with the existing Trade and Investment Architecture?	18
2. Investor Considerations → Does the Conformity/Lack thereof Lead to possible FET Violations?.....	23
3. Regulator’s Perspective → How is the Regulator’s Flexibility impacted by the Interface between post 2008 Financial Crisis Regulation and the Trade and Investment Architecture?	25
a. Changes in Regulatory Rationale and Regulatory Approaches.....	26
b. Regulatory Burden: Home versus Host Country Regulation	28
c. The importance of regulatory Flexibility for Minimising Systemic Risk versus Regulatory Burden on Investors and Financial Service Suppliers....	30
d. Regulatory Flexibility under Trade and Investment Agreements: The Prudential Carve-Out and Select Post Financial Crisis Prudential Regulation	32

E.	Outcome of Contradictions between Trade/Investment and Financial Architecture: Dispute settlement and Economic Costs.....	38
1.	Increasing Trend in Financial Sector linked Disputes in International Fora	38
a.	Grounds for Investors and Financial Service Suppliers	40
2.	Economic Costs accruing to Investors/Financial Services Suppliers and Government	43
F.	Conclusion and Suggestions going forward.....	45
1.	Importance of Regulatory Flexibility for Minimising Systemic Risk versus Regulatory Burden on Investors and Financial Service Suppliers	48
2.	International Law and Legal Regimes.....	49
3.	Increasing Dispute Settlement in the Financial Sector	53
4.	Differences in Provisions within and between Trade and Investments Agreements create a complicated Web of interlinked Commitments and wide and varied Definitions and Ambiguity in Conceptual Understandings	54
G.	Responding to Key Questions of the PHD Thesis	54
II.	The International Trade and Investment Architecture as it relates to the Financial Sector	59
A.	Introduction	59
B.	The Trade and Investment Architecture as relevant to the Financial Sector	61
1.	Definitions and Coverage within the International Trade and Investment Architecture and Investor State Dispute Settlement	61
a.	Definitions linked to the Object/Target of Investment or Financial Service Provision	61
b.	Definitions linked to the Person/Entity making the investment or providing the financial Service	63
c.	Definitions as interpreted in Investor State Dispute Settlement Case Law ..	67
C.	The Trade and Investment Architecture as Contained in the WTO's General Agreement on Trade in Services and free Trade Agreements	70
1.	General Principles and Rules	70
2.	Specific Obligations-Schedules of Commitments in Financial Services	71
3.	Regulatory Flexibility under Trade and Investment Agreements: The Prudential Carve Out.....	73
a.	Does the Prudential Carve-Out cover macro and microprudential Regulations?	74
b.	What kind of macro and microprudential Regulations are covered and under what Circumstances?	74

c.	What Degree of Regulatory Flexibility is afforded by the Prudential Carve-Out and under what Circumstances? A look at Key Case Law covering the Prudential Carve-Out Clause	77
d.	Prudential Carve-Out in FTAs	80
4.	Understanding on Commitments in Financial Services	84
a.	New Financial Services	85
5.	Capital Transfer Provisions in the GATS/FTAS/BITS	87
D.	The Investment Architecture as contained in the Fair and Equitable Standard in FTAS/BITS and its Interpretation in Case Law.	88
1.	Conceptual Understanding of the fair and equitable Treatment Standard	89
2.	Fair and Equitable Treatment Standards in Free Trade Agreements, Bilateral Investment Treaties	93
a.	Minimum Standard FET	96
b.	Autonomous FET or no FET	99
3.	Fair and Equitable Treatment Interpretation in Investor State Dispute Settlement – relevant Elements of the FET Standard.....	100
a.	Host State should act in a transparent Manner	100
b.	Host State should act in a consistent and non-arbitrary Manner	102
c.	The Requirement to fulfill a foreign Investor’s Legitimate Expectations	103
d.	Onus on the Host State to maintain a stable Business and Legal Environment	104
e.	Investor Protection versus Host State’s Regulatory Policy Space.....	106
f.	Measuring the Legitimacy of the Host States Regulatory Action	107
g.	Impact on treaty Interpretation: Customary International Law in both the Minimum and Autonomous FET Standard.....	109
h.	Autonomous FET Approach	111
4.	Conclusions	113
III.	Post Crisis Macroprudential Regulation: The Case of Capital Flow Measures...117	
A.	Introduction	117
B.	Description of Capital Flow Management Measures, their Economic Rationale and Their Usage post the 2008 Financial Crisis.....	118
1.	Capital Controls and CFM Measures: What are they?.....	118
2.	Volatile Capital Flows and their Systemic Impact	120
3.	Arguments for and against the use of Capital Controls/Capital Flow Management Measures	122
4.	Post-2008 Financial Crisis Usage of Capital Flow Measures by Countries ...	124

C.	Applicability of the International Trade and Investment Architecture to Capital Control Measures: Capital Transfer and Balance of Payment Safeguard Contained in International Agreements.....	128
1.	Overview	128
2.	Capital Transfer Provisions and Balance of Payment Safeguards Coverage in the Global Trade and Investment Architecture	131
a.	Transfer of Capital Provisions	131
b.	Balance of Payments Safeguards	132
3.	Capital Transfer and Balance of Payment Safeguard Provisions in FTAs	135
4.	Capital Flow Management Measures and the fair and equitable Treatment Standard	143
a.	Autonomous FET Approach.....	149
D.	Establishing Interlinkages Between the Trade and Investment Architecture and Capital Flow Measures: Highlighting Inconsistencies and Contradictions	151
1.	FTA-Related Inconsistencies/Contradictions	151
2.	FET-Related Inconsistencies/Contradictions	152
E.	Conclusions.....	154
IV.	Post Crisis Microprudential Regulation: The Case of Legal Form and Separation of risky Trading Activities.....	157
A.	Introduction	157
B.	Description of select Microprudential Regulation, their Economic Rationale and Usage post the 2008 Financial Crisis	158
1.	Microprudential Regulation related to Legal Form: Subsidiary versus Branches.....	159
a.	General Overview of Branch versus subsidiary Choice	159
b.	Post-2008 Crisis Regulation Relating to Legal Form	162
2.	Segregation of risky Trading Activities through microprudential Regulation on Proprietary Trading and Ringfencing, post the 2008 Crisis	165
a.	Restrictions on risky Trading Activities through Proprietary Trading	167
b.	Restriction of Risky Trading Activities through ringfencing Requirements	170
C.	Microprudential Regulation relating to Legal Form and Segregation of risky Trading Activities in Relation to the International Trade and Investment Architecture.....	172
1.	Overview	172
2.	Microprudential Regulation and GATS and FTA Obligations	174
a.	Coverage of Financial Services and linked Investment	174
b.	Market Access and National Treatment Considerations.....	175

c.	Specific Obligations: Schedules of Commitments in Financial Services	178
d.	Understanding on Financial Services Commitments	180
e.	Prudential Carve-Out -Annex on Financial Services	181
3.	Select Microprudential Regulation and the Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard.....	182
D.	Select Post-Crisis microprudential Regulation and Contradictions/ Inconsistencies with the Trade and Investment Architecture	187
1.	Trade and Investment related Contradictions and Inconsistencies	189
2.	Trade-Barrier-Like Effect.....	193
3.	Investor Impact and the Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard	195
a.	Legitimate Expectations of Investors	196
b.	Economic Costs to Investor of Implementing new Regulation.....	198
4.	Microprudential Regulation and Regulatory Flexibility	200
a.	Changes in Regulatory Rationale and Regulatory Approaches.....	201
b.	Regulatory Burden: Home versus Host Country Regulation	203
c.	The Importance of Regulatory Flexibility for Minimising Systemic Risk versus Regulatory Burden on Investors and Financial Service Suppliers	205
E.	Conclusions	207
1.	Inconsistencies between select Post-2008 Financial Crisis Microprudential Regulation and the Trade and Investment Architecture	208
2.	Trade-Barrier-Like Effect	210
3.	Regulatory Flexibility for minimising systemic Risk versus Regulatory Burden on Investors and Financial Service Suppliers: Interlinkages with the Trade and Investment Architecture	211
4.	Dispute Settlement Considerations	212
5.	Interplay of Broader Factors	213
V.	Dispute Settlement and Economic Cost Considerations of Post-2008 Financial Regulation	215
A.	Introduction	215
B.	The Financial Sector and International Dispute Settlement	216
1.	Increasing Trend in Financial Sector linked Disputes	216
2.	Coverage of Dispute Settlement Provisions within the International Trade and Investment Architecture	224
C.	Grounds for Dispute Settlement Case Filings in the Financial Services Sector	228
1.	Has a Breach of existing Trade/Investment Obligations occurred?	229
2.	Regulatory Discretion vis-à-vis Trade and Investment Protections and Dispute Settlement Considerations	235

D.	Direct and Indirect Economic Costs of Post-2008 Crisis Financial Regulation Accruing to Investors/Financial Services Suppliers and the Regulator	237
1.	Direct Economic Costs arising out of Implementation of Prudential Regulation	239
2.	Indirect Economic Costs arising out of Implementation of Prudential Regulation	240
3.	Other Issues related to Economic Costs arising from Implementing Post-2008 Financial Regulation	246
E.	Conclusions.....	248
VI.	Concluding Chapter.....	255
A.	Introduction	255
B.	Key Observations on Emerging Financial Regulatory Landscape and the Trade and Investment Architecture	256
1.	Changing Post-2008 Crisis Financial Regulatory Landscape with the Objective of maintaining systemic Stability	256
2.	The Trade and Investment Architecture simultaneously protects Investors/ Financial Services Suppliers, provides for Regulatory Flexibility and Dispute Settlement	257
a.	Investor and Trade Protections: Market Access, National Treatment, Fair and Equitable Standard	257
b.	Protection of Regulatory Flexibility: Prudential Carve-Out and Balance-of-Payment Safeguard	258
C.	Contraventions at the Interface of the Trade/Investment Architecture and Financial Regulation and its Implications for Dispute Settlement	260
1.	Contraventions exist between and within the Trade and Investment Architecture and emerging Post-2008 Financial Crisis Regulation	260
a.	Contraventions/Incompatibility within the existing Trade and Investment Architecture	261
b.	Contraventions between specific financial Regulation and the existing Trade and Investment Architecture	261
c.	Contraventions related to Trade and Investment Commitments	263
d.	Contraventions Relating to the prudential Exception	264
e.	Contraventions related to Investment Agreements-FET Standard	265
2.	Trade Barrier Like Outcome arising out of Contravenions between Post-2008 Financial Crisis Regulation and the Trade and Investment Architecture	266
3.	Dispute Settlement under the Trade and Investment Architecture: Addressing Increasing Investment and Financial Disputes	268

D. Identification of Broad and Specific Issues for Consideration	269
1. Broad Issues for Consideration	269
2. The Importance of Regulatory Flexibility for Minimising Systemic Risk versus Regulatory Burden on Investors and Financial Service Suppliers	269
3. Economic Costs related to Implementation of Financial Regulation accruing to Investors/Financial Service Suppliers versus the Taxpayer	272
a. Direct and indirect Economic Costs of Post-2008 Crisis Financial Regulation	272
4. International Law and Legal Regimes	274
a. Financial Versus the Trade and Investment Architecture: Silo or symbiotic Approach?	274
b. International Economic/Trade Law and Financial Law: Application of Lex Specialis	277
c. Application of Soft Law and Customary International Law in Financial Sector related Dispute Settlement	280
5. Increasing Dispute Settlement in the Financial Sector	283
E. Specific Areas for Consideration	284
1. Differences in Provisions within and between Trade and Investment Agreements create a complicated Web of interlinked Commitments	284
2. Wide and varied Definitions and Ambiguity in conceptual Understandings	285
F. Responding to Key Questions of the PHD Thesis	286
G. Global Approaches to address the Interface of Financial Regulation and Trade/ Investment – a few Suggestions	289
1. Global Coordination to address Issues arising at the Interface of Financial Regulation as it Impacts the Trade and Investment Architecture	290
2. Global Approach for Dispute Settlement Relating to the Financial Sector	291