

# Public Law Analogies in International Legal Theory

Dissertation  
der Rechtswissenschaftlichen Fakultät  
der Universität Zürich

zur Erlangung der Würde eines Doktors der Rechtswissenschaft

vorgelegt von

**Damian Cedric Raphael Cueni**

von Zwingen BL

genehmigt auf Antrag von

Prof. Dr. Oliver Diggelmann  
und  
Prof. Dr. Johannes Reich

## *Detailed Contents*

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	v
<i>Introduction</i>	1
I. The Turn to Public Law Analogies	1
II. Research Question and Method	3
III. Summary and Plan of the Book	6
PART I: THE CONCEPT OF A PUBLIC LAW ANALOGY	11
1 <i>Why Public Law Analogies? The Very Idea</i>	13
I. The Quest for Legitimacy	13
1. The Strengthening of International Law	14
2. High Domestic Expectations, Widening Legitimacy Gaps	19
II. The Liberal Democratic Dilemma	23
1. The Need for More International Rule	24
2. The Need for (Liberal Democratic) Legitimation	27
III. The Very <i>Idea</i> of a Public Law Analogy	34
IV. Conclusion	41
2 <i>Varieties of Public Law Analogies</i>	43
I. Authority PLAs	44
1. <i>The Nature of International Power</i>	44
2. The Search for Publicness	50
3. Disaggregating Public Power	52
II. Narrow PLAs	54
1. Global Constitutionalism without Global Democracy?	56
2. The Constitutional Foundations of Global Administrative Law	60
3. A Third Way? Subsidiarity and Balancing of Interests	63
III. Wide PLAs	68
1. Freedom-Based Theories	69
2. Justice-Based Theories	72
3. Output-Based Theories	75
IV. Conclusion	79

3	<i>How (Not) to Do Things with Public Law Analogies</i>	81
I.	Transposing Concepts	82
1.	Conceptual Transposals in Search of Normative Guidance	82
2.	From Extending Concepts to Safeguarding Functionality	88
II.	Legitimizing International Law	93
1.	Between Realism and Concept Stretching	93
2.	Towards Engineering a New Optimum	98
III.	Allocating Authority	102
1.	Ordering Disorder	102
2.	The Perspectivism Challenge	107
IV.	Attributing Responsibility	111
1.	Turning Mere Happenings into Doings	112
2.	The Scope Problem	114
V.	Conclusion	116
	PART II: EXTENDING THE ANALOGY	117
4	<i>Public Law Analogies as Conceptual Engineering</i>	119
I.	The Tradition of Point-Based Explanations	119
II.	Why Focus on Functionality?	126
III.	Concepts, Points, and Contexts	129
IV.	Towards Conceptual Engineering	133
1.	Reverse-Engineering the Source Context	133
2.	Recreating Functionality in the Target Context	135
3.	Sense-Making	139
V.	Conclusion	142
5	<i>Normative Guidance through Public Law Analogies</i>	143
I.	Reverse-Engineering	143
1.	The Basic Function	144
2.	The Functions of Public Law	146
3.	The Role of Output Legitimacy	153
II.	Recreating Functionality	157
1.	Recreating the Basic Function	157
2.	Recreating the Functions of Public Law	160
3.	Recreating Formal Responsibility	169
III.	Situated Sense-Making	174
1.	From a Local Point of View	175
2.	Providing Legitimation Stories	180
IV.	Conclusion	183

<i>Conclusion: Towards Resolving the Dilemma?</i>	185
I. Looking Back	185
II. Promises, Hurdles, and Dangers	186
III. A Moderate Conceptual Pluralism	190
<i>Bibliography</i>	195