

# **Indeterminacy of International Law?**

Dissertation der Rechtswissenschaftlichen Fakultät der Universität Zürich  
zur Erlangung der Würde eines Doktors der Rechtswissenschaft

vorgelegt von  
Severin Meier  
von Zürich

genehmigt auf Antrag von  
Prof. Dr. Oliver Diggelmann und  
Prof. Dr. Bardo Fassbender

# Contents

Acknowledgements .....	V
Contents .....	VII
List of Abbreviations .....	IX
<hr/>	
<b>I. Turtles All the Way Down .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>I.A The Research Question and Its Relevance .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>I.B Terminology and Methodological Challenges .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>I.B.1 The Terms ‘Determinacy’ and ‘Indeterminacy’ .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>I.B.2 Different Forms of (In)determinacy .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>I.B.3 Predictability and Determinacy .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>I.C Agenda and Outline .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<hr/>	
<b>II. Indeterminacy of International Law? .....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>II.A Types of Legal (In)determinacy Theses .....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>II.A.1 At One End of the Spectrum:             The Imaginary Global Determinacy Thesis .....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>II.A.2 Linguistic Indeterminacy .....</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>II.A.3 Indeterminacy Arising from the             Composition of Norms .....</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>II.A.3.i Indeterminacy Arising from                 Legal Interpretation .....</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>II.A.3.ii Indeterminacy Arising from                 Fact-Ascertainment .....</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>II.A.4 At the Other End of the Spectrum: Indeterminacy             of the Structure of International Law .....</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>II.A.4.i International Law as an Argumentative Practice .....</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>II.A.4.ii The Structural Indeterminacy of                 International Law: David Kennedy .....</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>II.A.4.iii The Contradictory Liberal Roots of                 International Law: Martti Koskenniemi .....</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>II.A.4.iv A ‘Crit’ Ahead of Time: Hans Kelsen .....</b>	<b>66</b>

<b>II.B Critical Analysis of (In)determinacy Theses</b> .....	71
<b>II.B.1 The Creation of Legal Meanings</b> .....	71
<b>II.B.1.i Linguistic Indeterminacy:</b>	
The Contextual Meaning of Texts .....	72
<b>II.B.1.ii Interpretive Communities and the Attribution</b>	
of Meanings to Objects of Interpretation .....	83
<b>II.B.1.ii.a. Legal Interpretation</b> .....	89
<b>II.B.1.ii.b. Fact-Ascertainment</b> .....	94
<b>II.B.1.iii Interim Conclusion</b> .....	97
<b>II.B.2 The Limits of the Structural Indeterminacy Thesis</b> ...	100
<b>II.B.2.i The Existence of ‘Easy Cases’</b> .....	104
<b>II.B.2.ii The Practice of Legal Theory</b> .....	107
<b>II.B.2.iii A Middle Way between Factual Acceptance</b>	
and Objective Truth? .....	113
<b>II.B.3 A New Framing of (In)determinacy Theses</b> .....	116
<b>II.B.3.i The Impossible Dualism between Norms and</b>	
Their Interpretation .....	118
<b>II.B.3.i.a. There Is No Background Scheme in</b>	
Law and Language .....	119
<b>II.B.3.i.b. Breaking out of the Infinite Regress</b>	
of Rules .....	125
<b>II.B.3.ii Normativity Arising from the Practice of</b>	
International Law .....	130
<b>II.B.3.iii How Questions about the Extent of Legal</b>	
Determinacy Should Be Framed .....	142
<b>II.B.3.iv How Does a Legal Outcome Come</b>	
to Be Accepted? .....	144
<b>II.B.3.v The Extent of Determinacy in Domestic and</b>	
International Law .....	150
<hr/>	
<b>III. Conclusion</b> .....	155
<b>III.A Summary of Findings</b> .....	155
<b>III.B Can Research on Structural Biases Succeed?</b> .....	162
<b>III.C Turtles and the Stories of International Lawyers</b> .....	165
<hr/>	
<b>Table of Cases</b> .....	171
<b>Bibliography</b> .....	175