

Riki Maulana Baruwaso

Catholic Theology and the Dispute over the Image of Science

A Critical Assessment of the Scientific Character of Catholic Theology



PETER LANG

Contents

Introduction	11
I. Catholic theology	17
I.1. Introduction	17
I.2. Identifying Catholic theology	18
I.3. Two formal concepts of Catholic theology	23
I.3.1. Theology practiced by Catholics	23
I.3.2. Theology practiced in full communion with the Catholic church	26
I.4. Theology as <i>scientia fidei</i>	28
I.4.1. Science of faith and being critical	29
I.4.2. Openness to historical-critical method?	32
I.4.3. Institutional justification of faith	34
I.5. The role of revelation, tradition and infallibility in Catholic theology	35
I.5.1. It is all about divine revelation	35
I.5.1.1. Revelation marks the boundary of theology	36
I.5.1.2. An open access to revelation?	41
I.5.2. Tradition does matter	46
I.5.3. Infallibility	51
I.6. Conclusion	53
II. The demarcation of science	55
II.1. Introduction	55
II.2. About the demarcation problem	56
II.2.1. Unsuccessful project?	56
II.2.1.1. A denial of the problem	57
II.2.1.2. Critics against the denial	58

II.2.1.3. A possible common view	60
II.2.2. Considering progress in philosophy	61
II.2.2.1. Pros and cons	61
II.2.2.2. Possible progress in philosophy	65
II.2.3. Pursuing the project	66
II.3. Two competing scientific research programs	68
II.3.1. Standpoint of scientists	68
II.3.1.1. Elementary particle physicists and predictive success	70
II.3.1.1.1. Dilemma	72
II.3.1.1.2. An attempt to overcome the dilemma	74
II.3.1.2. String theorists and nonempirical appraisal	74
II.3.1.2.1. Nonempirical issues	76
II.3.2. The philosophical issue	77
II.4. The role of prediction in science	80
II.4.1. Predictive success	80
II.4.2. Unintentional discovery	82
II.4.2.1. The intervention of the scientific community	85
II.4.2.2. The attitude of the individual scientist	85
II.4.2.3. Success stories of scientists	87
II.5. The role of explanation in science	88
II.5.1. Understanding explanation	88
II.5.2. Explanation-oriented science	91
II.6. Scientists define science?	92
II.7. Conclusion	95
 III. Karl Popper's critical rationalism and Catholic theology	97
III.1. Introduction	97
III.2. How is science possible?	98
III.2.1. Popper's interpretation of Kant's transcendental project ..	98
III.2.2. Popper's proposal	103

III.3. Science and critical rationalism	110
III.3.1. Critical method in science	110
III.3.2. Against uncritical/irrationalist approach	115
III.3.3. Acceptance of the critical method	119
III.4. Science and metaphysics	122
III.4.1. Science and metaphysical research programs	122
III.4.2. Science and metaphysical realism	127
III.5. A discussion about Popper's view of science	134
III.6. Consequences for Catholic theology	145
III.7. Conclusion	155
 IV. Change in Catholic theology	 157
IV.1. Introduction	157
IV.2. Theology changes?	157
IV.2.1. God and certainty in theology	158
IV.2.2. Theological change in historical records and two tendencies of historicists	164
IV.2.3. Freedom and the role of the church's authority in theological change	170
IV.2.4. A narrow space for change in theology	173
IV.3. Theological progress and critical realism	174
IV.3.1. Critical realism as criticism of theological thinking: A critical-rationalist perspective	175
IV.3.2. Critical realism as support for theological progress	181
IV.3.2.1. Parallelism between doing science and theology	186
IV.3.2.2. Asymmetrical relationship between science and theology	188
IV.3.2.3. Analogy as an argument and psychological boost	191
IV.4. Theological change and rationality	193

IV.4.1. Rationality and changeability 193

IV.4.2. Rational-equalitarian discussion 198

IV.4.3. In the case of Catholic theology: Can it be rational? 204

IV.5. Conclusion 210

Conclusion 213

Bibliography 215