

Dedication

Dedicated to the memory of Prof. George Bodner (08 March 1946–19 March 2021), Purdue University, who inspired so many over decades in their love for organic chemistry education.

Advances in Chemistry Education Series No. 10
Student Reasoning in Organic Chemistry: Research Advances and Evidence-based Instructional Practices
Edited by Nicole Graulich and Ginger Shultz
© The Royal Society of Chemistry 2023
Published by the Royal Society of Chemistry, www.rsc.org

Contents

Chapter 1	Students' Attention on Curved Arrows While Evaluating the Plausibility of an Organic Mechanistic Step	3
	<i>Melissa Weinrich and Ryan Britt</i>	
1.1	Introduction	3
1.2	Theoretical Framework	5
1.2.1	Abstractness	5
1.2.2	Student Reasoning	6
1.2.3	Eye Tracking	7
1.3	Research Questions	7
1.4	Methods	7
1.4.1	Context and Participants	7
1.4.2	Data Collection	8
1.4.3	Data Analysis	8
1.5	Results and Discussion	11
1.5.1	Explicit and Implicit Features	11
1.5.2	Specific and General Terminology	12
1.5.3	Reasoning Based on Sequence vs. Chaining	12
1.5.4	AOIs	13
1.5.5	Success	14
1.6	Conclusions, Implications, and Limitations	16
	Acknowledgements	17
	References	17

Chapter 2	Supporting Spatial Thinking in Organic Chemistry Through Augmented Reality—An Explorative Interview Study	19
	<i>Sebastian Keller and Sebastian Habig</i>	
2.1	Introduction	19
2.1.1	Multiple External Representations in Organic Chemistry Learning	19
2.1.2	Spatial Reasoning in Organic Chemistry	21
2.2	Augmented Reality as an Instructional Aid in Organic Chemistry	22
2.3	Aim of the Study	24
2.4	Sample and Design	24
2.5	Results	26
2.5.1	Task 1—Translation Between a Dash-wedge Notation and a Newman Projection	27
2.5.2	Task 2—Generating a Newman Projection from a Given Dash-wedge Notation	27
2.5.3	Task 3—Translating Between Two Ball-and-stick Models	28
2.5.4	Task 4—Determine the Product Conformation	31
2.6	Discussion	32
	References	33
Chapter 3	Representational Competence Under the Magnifying Glass—The Interplay Between Student Reasoning Skills, Conceptual Understanding, and the Nature of Representations	36
	<i>Lyniesha W. Ward, Fridah Rotich, Julia Hoang and Maia Popova</i>	
3.1	Introduction	36
3.1.1	The Role of Representational Competence in Organic Chemistry	36
3.1.2	The Interplay Between the Nature of Representations, Conceptual Understanding, and Reasoning	37
3.2	Study Design and Methods	39
3.3	Findings	40
3.3.1	Students' Reasoning While <i>Interpreting</i> Dash-wedge Diagrams and Newman Projections	41
3.3.2	Students' Reasoning While <i>Translating</i> Between Dash-wedge Diagrams and Newman Projections	42
3.3.3	Students' Reasoning While <i>Generating</i> a Newman Projection from a Dash-wedge Diagram	48

3.3.4	Students' Reasoning While <i>Using</i> Newman Projections to Make Inferences About Stability	49
3.4	Summary of Findings and Conclusions	50
3.4.1	Summary of Findings Across the Tasks that Focused on Various Representational Competence Skills	50
3.4.2	Summary of Findings for Each Representative Student	50
3.4.3	Conclusions	52
3.5	Implications	52
3.5.1	Implications for Instruction	52
3.5.2	Implications for Research	53
	Acknowledgements	54
	References	54
Chapter 4	Fostering Causal Mechanistic Reasoning as a Means of Modelling in Organic Chemistry	59
	<i>Olivia M. Crandell and Melanie M. Cooper</i>	
4.1	Introduction	59
4.2	Causal Mechanistic Reasoning Underpins Expert-like Modeling	61
4.3	Characterizing Causal Mechanistic Reasoning Across Different Reactions	62
4.4	Eliciting Causal Mechanistic Reasoning—Attention to Scaffolding	64
4.5	Causal Mechanistic Reasoning in Organic Chemistry	66
4.6	Characterizing the Relationship Between Reasoning and Arrow Drawings	70
4.7	Summary	71
4.8	Strategies for Fostering Causal Mechanistic Reasoning in Learning Environments	71
	Acknowledgements	72
	References	72
Chapter 5	Students' Reasoning in Chemistry Arguments and Designing Resources Using Constructive Alignment	74
	<i>Jacky M. Deng, Myriam S. Carle and Alison B. Flynn</i>	
5.1	Introduction	74
5.1.1	Citizens Need to be Able to Reason with Scientific Evidence	74
5.2	Framework—Reasoning, Granularity, and Comparisons	75
5.2.1	Modes of Reasoning	76

5.2.2	Levels of Granularity—Moving Between Grain Sizes	76
5.2.3	Comparison—Considering Alternatives	78
5.3	Students' Arguments Can Vary Between Tasks	78
5.4	Supporting Student Learning Through Constructive Alignment	80
5.4.1	Instructional Design	80
5.4.2	Scaffolding Skill Development	82
5.4.3	Resources for Constructively Aligning Reasoning into a Course	84
5.5	Conclusions	84
	References	85
Chapter 6	From Free Association to Goal-directed Problem-solving—Network Analysis of Students' Use of Chemical Concepts in Mechanistic Reasoning	90
	<i>Gyde Asmussen, Marc Rodemer, Julia Eckhard and Sascha Bernholt</i>	
6.1	Introduction	90
6.2	Theoretical Background	91
6.2.1	Reasons for Students' Difficulties with Mechanistic Reasoning	91
6.2.2	Organization of Knowledge Structure Through Cognitive Networks	92
6.3	Research Questions	93
6.4	Method	94
6.4.1	Cohort	94
6.4.2	Case Comparison Tasks	94
6.4.3	Data Collection and Analysis	95
6.5	Results	98
6.6	Discussion and Conclusions	102
6.6.1	Implications for Teaching	105
	Acknowledgements	106
	References	107
Chapter 7	Epistemic Stances in Action—Students' Reasoning Process While Reflecting About Alternative Reaction Pathways in Organic Chemistry	110
	<i>Leonie Lieber and Nicole Graulich</i>	
7.1	Introduction	110
7.1.1	Reasoning in Students' Argumentation	111
7.1.2	Toward an Understanding of Epistemic Stances	112
7.2	Research Questions	113
7.3	Study Design and Methods	113
7.3.1	Data Analysis	114

7.4 Results and Discussion	115
7.4.1 Case 1—Taylor	115
7.4.2 Case 2—Robin	119
7.5 Conclusion and Implications	122
Acknowledgements	123
References	123
 Chapter 8 How Do Students Reason When They Have to Describe the “What” and “Why” of a Given Reaction Mechanism?	 125
<i>Jolanda Hermanns and David Keller</i>	
8.1 Introduction	125
8.2 Theoretical Background—Mechanistic Reasoning and Writing-to-learn in Organic Chemistry	126
8.3 Research Questions	126
8.4 Methods	127
8.4.1 The Course “Training OC”	127
8.4.2 Sample	127
8.4.3 The Coding Process	127
8.5 Results and Discussion	129
8.5.1 RQ1: What is the Quality of Students’ Reasoning Regarding Their Description of the “What” of the Given Reaction Mechanism?	129
8.5.2 RQ2: What is the Quality of Students’ Reasoning Regarding Their Description of the “Why” of the Given Reaction Mechanism?	134
8.6 Limitations	137
8.7 Implications	138
Acknowledgements	139
References	139
 Chapter 9 In-the-moment Learning of Organic Chemistry During Interactive Lectures Through the Lens of Practical Epistemology Analysis	 141
<i>Katie H. Walsh, Jessica M. Karch and Ira Caspari-Gnann</i>	
9.1 Introduction	141
9.1.1 Practical Epistemology Analysis (PEA)	143
9.2 Methodology	145
9.2.1 Study Context	145
9.2.2 Data Collection	145
9.2.3 Data Analysis	146
9.3 Results and Discussion	146
9.3.1 What Drives Student In-the-moment Learning—Gap Patterns	147
9.3.2 How Students Learn In-the-moment of Group Discussions—Relation Patterns	152

9.4 Conclusions and Implications	155
Acknowledgements	156
References	156
Chapter 10 Flipped Classrooms in Organic Chemistry—A Closer Look at Student Reasoning Through Discourse Analysis of a Group Activity	161
<i>Suazette R. Mooring, Nikita L. Burrows and Sujani Gamage</i>	
10.1 Introduction	161
10.1.1 Pre-class Activity—Videos	162
10.1.2 Pre-class Activity—Quizzes	163
10.1.3 In-class Activity—Student Response Systems	163
10.1.4 In-class Activity—Group Work	163
10.2 Student Dialogue in a Flipped Course—A Case Study	165
10.2.1 The ICAP Framework	166
10.2.2 Argumentation and Student Reasoning in Organic Chemistry	167
10.2.3 Course Context and Participants	167
10.2.4 Group Quiz Format	168
10.2.5 Data Collection and Analysis	168
10.3 Findings	169
10.3.1 Group A Summary	169
10.3.2 Quiz 2, Prompt 5—Group B	171
10.3.3 ICAP Analysis—Comparison of Group A to Group B	173
10.3.4 Argumentation—Comparison of Group A to Group B	174
10.4 Conclusions and Implications	174
10.4.1 Scaffolding Questions to Promote Argumentation	175
10.4.2 Group Composition and Roles	176
10.4.3 Incorporating Student Observations in Assessment of Group Activities	176
Acknowledgements	176
References	176
Chapter 11 Systemic Assessment Questions as a Means of Assessment in Organic Chemistry	179
<i>Gulten Sendur</i>	
11.1 Introduction	179
11.2 The Role of Scientific Reasoning Skills in Developing Meaningful Understanding in Organic Chemistry	180
11.3 Assessment of Students' Meaningful Understanding in the Context of SATL	181

11.3.1	Systemic Diagrams and Systemic Assessment Questions	183
11.3.2	Assessment of SAQs	186
11.4	Research on Systemic Diagrams in Organic Chemistry Education	187
11.5	Example of an Activity to Assess Students Meaningful Understanding with SAQs Diagrams in Organic Chemistry Lessons	190
11.6	Conclusions and Implications	192
	References	193
Chapter 12	Variations in the Teaching of Resonance—An Exploration of Organic Chemistry Instructors' Enacted Pedagogical Content Knowledge	195
	<i>Emily L. Atieh, Jherian K. Mitchell-Jones, Dihua Xue and Marilynne Stains</i>	
12.1	Introduction	195
12.2	Theoretical Framework	197
12.2.1	PCK in the Sciences	197
12.2.2	Coming to a Consensus on PCK	198
12.2.3	Tying It All Together	199
12.3	Methods	200
12.3.1	Participants	200
12.3.2	Data Collection	200
12.3.3	Data Analysis	202
12.4	Results	203
12.4.1	Grouping Instructors by ePCK	203
12.4.2	Integrating ePCK Components	205
12.4.3	Student Conceptions of the Resonance Hybrid	206
12.5	Discussion	207
12.5.1	RQ1—Characterizing Instructors' ePCK	207
12.5.2	RQ2—Instructor ePCK and Student Outcomes	208
12.6	Limitations	210
12.7	Conclusions and Implications	211
	Acknowledgements	211
	References	211
Chapter 13	Investigation of Students' Conceptual Understanding in Organic Chemistry Through Systemic Synthesis Questions	214
	<i>Tamara Rončević, Dušica D. Rodić and Saša A. Horvat</i>	
13.1	Introduction—Conceptual Understanding in Organic Chemistry	214
13.2	Theoretical Foundation	216

13.2.1	Organic Reaction Mechanism Problems and Mechanistic Reasoning	216
13.2.2	Mental Models and Conceptual Models	217
13.2.3	Systemic Diagrams and Systemic Assessment Questions as Effective Conceptual Models	219
13.3	Assessing the Quality of Students' Mental Models and/or Conceptual Structures in Organic Chemistry	222
13.3.1	Research Problem, Objectives and Tasks	222
13.3.2	Description of Scoring Scheme Applied to the Students' Generated SSynQs and Obtained Results	223
13.4	Concluding Remarks and Implications for Instruction	227
	Acknowledgements	229
	References	229
Chapter 14	Disciplining Perception Spatial Thinking in Organic Chemistry Through Embodied Actions	232
	<i>Mike Stieff, Stephanie Scopelitis and Matthew Lira</i>	
14.1	Introduction	232
14.1.1	Perceptual Learning with Visual Representations	234
14.1.2	Disciplining Perception Through Embodied Actions	235
14.2	Present Study	237
14.2.1	Methods	238
14.2.2	Case 1—Making the Steps for Spatial Thinking Visible	238
14.2.3	Case 2—Performing Spatial Thinking in a Large Lecture Hall	241
14.2.4	Cross-case Analysis	243
14.3	Conclusion	244
	Acknowledgements	245
	References	245
Chapter 15	Building Bridges Between Tasks and Flasks—Design of a Coherent Experiment-supported Learning Environment for Deep Reasoning in Organic Chemistry	248
	<i>Andreas Trabert, Catharina Schmitt and Michael Schween</i>	
15.1	Introduction	248
15.2	State of Research and Approach to Design	251
15.2.1	Research on Student Reasoning	251
15.2.2	Design Objectives and Design Principles	252

15.2.3	Aggregation and Arrangement of Reaction Mechanisms and Concepts in a Coherent Learning Environment	254
15.3	Developments for Secondary and Tertiary Education	256
15.3.1	Secondary Education: Learning to Think in Mechanistic Alternatives— S_N1 vs. $E1$ Reactions	256
15.3.2	Tertiary Education: Exploring Electronic Substituent Effects—Alkaline Hydrolysis of Substituted Ethyl Benzoates	257
15.4	Implications for Implementation and Teaching	260
15.5	Conclusion	263
	Acknowledgements	264
	References	264
Chapter 16	Assessment of Assessment in Organic Chemistry—Review and Analysis of Predominant Problem Types Related to Reactions and Mechanisms	269
	<i>Gautam Bhattacharyya</i>	
16.1	Introduction	269
16.1.1	Chapter Scope	270
16.2	Individual Reactions	271
16.3	Synthesis	273
16.3.1	Student Solutions to Traditional Synthesis Tasks	273
16.3.2	Non-traditional Assessment of Synthesis	276
16.4	Electron-pushing Mechanisms (EPMs)	278
16.4.1	Traditional Electron-pushing Tasks	278
16.4.2	Non-traditional Mechanistic Reasoning Tasks	281
16.5	Conclusions	281
	Acknowledgements	283
	References	283
Chapter 17	Developing Machine Learning Models for Automated Analysis of Organic Chemistry Students' Written Descriptions of Organic Reaction Mechanisms	285
	<i>Field M. Watts, Amber J. Dood and Ginger V. Shultz</i>	
17.1	Introduction	285
17.1.1	Eliciting Students' Mechanistic Reasoning in Organic Chemistry Through Writing	286
17.1.2	Machine Learning for Analyzing Student Writing in Chemistry	287

17.2 Theoretical Framework	288
17.3 Research Questions	288
17.4 Methods	289
17.4.1 Setting and Participants	289
17.4.2 Writing-to-learn Assignments and Implementation	289
17.4.3 Data Collection	291
17.4.4 Data Analysis	291
17.5 Results and Discussion	293
17.5.1 RQ1—How do Students Respond to WTL Assignments Intended to Elicit <i>How</i> and <i>Why</i> Organic Reaction Mechanisms Occur?	293
17.5.2 RQ2—Does Automated Text Analysis Allow for Predictions of the Components Included in Students' Written Mechanistic Descriptions?	297
17.6 Implications	299
17.6.1 Implications for Research	299
17.6.2 Implications for Practice	300
17.7 Limitations	300
17.8 Conclusions	301
References	301

Chapter 18	Development of a Generalizable Framework for Machine Learning-based Evaluation of Written Explanations of Reaction Mechanisms from the Post-secondary Organic Chemistry Curriculum	304
	<i>Jeffrey R. Raker, Brandon J. Yik and Amber J. Dood</i>	
18.1	Are Drawn Reaction Mechanisms Enough to Evaluate Understanding?	304
18.2	Learner Understanding of Reaction Mechanisms	305
18.3	Assessment of Learner Understanding of Reaction Mechanisms	306
18.4	Training Machine Learning Models for Automated Text Analysis	308
18.5	Framework for Evaluating Understanding of Reaction Mechanisms	310
18.5.1	Levels of Explanation Sophistication	311
18.5.2	Evaluating Understanding of Electrophiles	312
18.6	Implications for Educators	313
18.7	Implications for Researchers	315
18.8	A Path toward Better Learning	316
	Acknowledgements	316
	References	316

Chapter 19	The Central Importance of Assessing “Doing Science” to Research and Instruction	320
	<i>Cara E. Schwarz, Kimberly S. DeGlopper, Aubrey J. Ellison, Brian J. Esselman and Ryan L. Stowe</i>	
19.1	Introduction	320
19.2	Assessment 101	321
19.2.1	Observation	321
19.2.2	Interpretation	322
19.2.3	Conceptual Change	323
19.2.4	How Observation, Interpretation, and Cognition Work Together	324
19.3	Assessing Work Aligned with the Practice of Chemistry	325
19.4	3D Assessments as Research Tools	329
19.5	3D Assessments as a Vital Part of 3D Learning Environments	330
19.6	Future Directions for Research on 3D Assessments	333
19.7	Conclusion	335
	Acknowledgements	335
	References	335
Postface		338
Biographies of Authors		341
Subject Index		346