Connecting Communities in Archaic Greece

Exploring Economic and Political Networks through Data Modelling

MICHAEL LOY
University of Cambridge

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Contents

List of Figures [*page* vi]
List of Tables [xiii]
Acknowledgements [xv]
List of Abbreviations [xvii]

1 Introduction [1]

2 Economic Networks: The Transport of Heavy Freight [31]

3 Economic Networks: Commodities and Semi-Luxuries [88]

4 Entangled Networks: The Transfer of Technical Knowledge [145]

5 Political Networks: Expressions of Political Affiliation [189]

6 Political Networks: State Alliance and Amphiktyonies [247]

7 Conclusions [280]

Appendices www.cambridge.org/loy
1 Freestanding Marble Statue Dataset
2 Ceramics Source Publications and Pottery Count
3 Coins Dataset
4 Inscription Dataset

Bibliography [290]
Index [326]

Figures

1.1 View of Corinth during the first years of the 'big dig' excavation campaign. BSA SPHS 01/2105.5577, 'Ancient Corinth: Agora excavations'. [*page* 2]

1.2 Illustrative network graphic. Nodes and edges are weighted; the arrows on the edges indicate that edges in this graph have direction. [12]

1.3 Distribution of sites discussed in this book. [21]

2.1 Samian *kouros*, Vathy Archaeological Museum. Photograph by author. [35]

2.2 Fragment of a *kore* (A.4062) from the Archaeological Museum on Delos. Photograph by author. [36]

2.3 Distribution of sites discussed in this chapter, for which marble statues have been found. [41]

2.4 Heights and marble sources of *kouroi* over time. The 'type' of *kouros* is given as is in their source catalogues, without mapping or combination of data classes. The 'negative' date range indicates BC dates, while the height of statues is given in metres. [44]

2.5 Heights and marble sources of *korai* over time. The 'type' of *kore* is given as is in their source catalogues, without mapping or combination of data classes. The 'negative' date range indicates BC dates, while the height of statues is given in metres. [44]

2.6 Heights and marble sources of *kouroi* over time, with data points for unprovenanced marble removed. Other datapoints are described as is in their source catalogues, without mapping or combination of data classes. The 'negative' date range indicates BC dates, while the height of statues is given in metres. [45]

2.7 Heights and marble sources of *kouroi* from the Ptoion sanctuary over time. Datapoints are described as is in their source catalogues, without mapping or combination of data

classes. The 'negative' date range indicates BC dates, while the height of statues is given in metres. [45]
2.8 Heights and marble sources of *korai* from the Athenian Acropolis over time. The type of marble is indicated according to three top-level points of origin based on quarry location: Penteli (i.e. in Attica) or from one of the various Naxian or Parian quarries. [56]
2.9 Heights of *kouroi* from Delos over time. No distinction is made between marbles originating in different places or of different stylistic groups, given that information was unavailable in the source catalogues. [56]
2.10 Heights of marble sculptures over time, from Athens, Delos, and Ptoion, distributed across time from 650 BC to 500 BC. [57]
2.11 Marathi marble quarries from the island of Paros. Photograph by author. [59]
2.12 Raw marble sources available within the Aegean and western Anatolia. Dot colour represents whether marble from this source has certain colour-tinges in its quality. This graphic has been produced from the marble database of Ben Russell, available on the OxRep website, http://oxrep.classics.ox.ac.uk /databases/stone_quarries_database [60]
2.13 Visualisation for the weighted frequency of routes travelled between marble quarry and destination sanctuary site, using PPA modelling. Weight is a term relative to the total quantity of marble transported across the whole of the Archaic period. [75]
2.14 Visualisation for the weighted frequency of routes travelled between marble quarry and destination sanctuary site, using random simulation modelling. Weight is a term relative to the total quantity of marble transported across the whole of the Archaic period. [79]
2.15 Estimate for the number of ships required to transport Aegean marble, with respect to an estimate of how much sculpture survives in the material record. The x axis adjusts the figure given by Snodgrass 1983: 228 for the percentage of marble surviving in the material record, while the y axis shows the effect this has on the overall calculation of ships required to move this marble in the Aegean. [86]

3.1 Distribution of sites discussed in this chapter, for which pottery data is available to a sufficient quality. [93]
3.2 Representative Attic (*skyphos*) and Corinthian (*aryballos*) pottery types of the Archaic period. BSA Museum MUS.A004 and MUS.A351. Photographs by Matt Stirn and copyright British School at Athens. [95]
3.3 Distribution of sites for which Attic pottery is attested across four time periods investigated. Size of site marker is proportional to the amount of pottery found, relative to all other types of pottery. a) 700–650 BC b) 650–600 BC c) 600–550 BC d) 550–500 BC [99]
3.4 Distribution of sites for which Corinthian pottery is attested across four time periods investigated. Size of site marker is proportional to the amount of pottery found, relative to all other types of pottery. a) 700–650 BC b) 650–600 BC c) 600–550 BC d) 550–500 BC [104]
3.5 Distribution of sites for which Ionian pottery is attested across four time periods investigated. Size of site marker is proportional to the amount of pottery found, relative to all other types of pottery. a) 700–650 BC b) 650–600 BC c) 600–550 BC d) 550–500 BC [108]
3.6 Distribution of sites for which Local pottery is attested across four time periods investigated. Size of site marker is proportional to the amount of pottery found, relative to all other types of pottery. a) 700–650 BC b) 650–600 BC c) 600–550 BC d) 550–500 BC [113]
3.7 Results of PCA on ceramic data from sites broadly defined as 'urban' and with respect to ware categorisation. a) 700–650 BC b) 650–600 BC c) 600–550 BC d) 550–500 BC [119]
3.8 Results of PCA on ceramic data from sites broadly defined as 'sanctuary' and with respect to ware categorisation. a) 700–650 BC b) 650–600 BC c) 600–550 BC d) 550–500 BC [121]
3.9 Results of PCA on ceramic data from sites broadly defined as 'urban' and with respect to shape categorisation. a) 700–650 BC b) 650–600 BC c) 600–550 BC d) 550–500 BC [125]
3.10 Results of PCA on ceramic data from sites broadly defined as 'sanctuary' and with respect to shape categorisation. a) 700–650 BC b) 650–600 BC c) 600–550 BC d) 550–500 BC [127]

3.11 Results of PCA on ceramic data from sites broadly defined as 'funerary' and with respect to shape categorisation. a) 600–550 BC b) 550–500 BC [130]
3.12 Visualisation for the weighted frequency of routes travelled between rough point of ceramic production and place of consumption, using PPA modelling. a) 700–650 BC b) 650–600 BC c) 600–550 BC d) 550–500 BC. Weight is a term relative to the total quantity of ceramic transported across the whole of the Archaic period as investigated in this chapter; the same colour-gradient scale is used as in Chapter 2. [137]
4.1 Illustrative Archaic period silver coin from Corinth, a) obverse and b) reverse. BSA Museum MUS.C103. Photographs by author. [150]
4.2 Distribution of sites for which there is evidence of coin minting. a) Pre-600 BC b) 600–580 BC c) 580–560 BC d) 560–540 BC e) 540–520 BC f) 520–500 BC. [154]
4.3 SNA visualisation of the sites minting coins 600–580 BC. Sites are represented by nodes, and ties are drawn between sites that share a weight standard: a) Nodes are placed according to their approximate geographic location in the Aegean; b) Nodes are distributed randomly in abstract space. [168]
4.4 SNA visualisation of the sites minting coins 580–560 BC. Sites are represented by nodes, and ties are drawn between sites that share a weight standard: a) Nodes are placed according to their approximate geographic location in the Aegean; b) Nodes are distributed randomly in abstract space. [169]
4.5 SNA visualisation of the sites minting coins 560–540 BC. Sites are represented by nodes, and ties are drawn between sites that share a weight standard: a) Nodes are placed according to their approximate geographic location in the Aegean; b) Nodes are distributed randomly in abstract space. [170]
4.6 SNA visualisation of the sites minting coins 540–520 BC. Sites are represented by nodes, and ties are drawn between sites that share a weight standard: a) Nodes are placed according to their approximate geographic location in the Aegean; b) Nodes are distributed randomly in abstract space. This image is available in a larger format online at www.cambridge.org/loy. [171]
4.7 SNA visualisation of the sites minting coins 520–500 BC. Sites are represented by nodes, and ties are drawn between sites

that share a weight standard: a) Nodes are placed according to their approximate geographic location in the Aegean; b) Nodes are distributed randomly in abstract space. This image is available in a larger format online at www.cambridge.org/loy. [174]
4.8 SNA visualisation of the sites producing amphoras 700–650 BC. Sites are represented by nodes, and ties are drawn between sites at which amphoras produced elsewhere are found: a) Nodes are placed according to their approximate geographic location in the Aegean; b) Nodes are distributed randomly in abstract space. [178]
4.9 SNA visualisation of the sites producing amphoras 650–600 BC. Sites are represented by nodes, and ties are drawn between sites at which amphoras produced elsewhere are found: a) Nodes are placed according to their approximate geographic location in the Aegean; b) Nodes are distributed randomly in abstract space. [179]
4.10 SNA visualisation of the sites producing amphoras 600–550 BC. Sites are represented by nodes, and ties are drawn between sites at which amphoras produced elsewhere are found: a) Nodes are placed according to their approximate geographic location in the Aegean; b) Nodes are distributed randomly in abstract space. [180]
4.11 SNA visualisation of the sites producing amphoras 550–500 BC. Sites are represented by nodes, and ties are drawn between sites at which amphoras produced elsewhere are found: a) Nodes are placed according to their approximate geographic location in the Aegean; b) Nodes are distributed randomly in abstract space. [182]
5.1 The 'Nikandre *kore*', indicative of a piece of freestanding sculpture that has been inscribed using an epichoric version of the Greek alphabet. The inscription on the left leg is printed below. Photograph by author. [194]
5.2 Distribution of 'red', 'blue' and 'green' alphabet types after Kirchhoff 1863. [201]
5.3 Distribution of sites discussed in this chapter, for which writing containing the target lettersets for analysis has been found. [204]
5.4 SNA visualisation of the sites clustered according to similar writing styles, 700–650 BC: a) Nodes are distributed

randomly in abstract space, clustered under 0.5 modularity parameter; b) Nodes are placed according to their approximate geographic location in the Aegean, clustered under 0.5 modularity parameter; c) Nodes are distributed randomly in abstract space, clustered under 1.0 modularity parameter; d) Nodes are placed according to their approximate geographic location in the Aegean, clustered under 1.0 modularity parameter; e) Nodes are distributed randomly in abstract space, clustered under 1.5 modularity parameter; f) Nodes are placed according to their approximate geographic location in the Aegean, clustered under 1.5 modularity parameter. This image is available in a larger format online at www.cambridge.org/loy. [210]

5.5 SNA visualisation of the sites clustered according to similar writing styles, 650–600 BC: a) Nodes are distributed randomly in abstract space, clustered under 0.5 modularity parameter; b) Nodes are placed according to their approximate geographic location in the Aegean, clustered under 0.5 modularity parameter; c) Nodes are distributed randomly in abstract space, clustered under 1.0 modularity parameter; d) Nodes are placed according to their approximate geographic location in the Aegean, clustered under 1.0 modularity parameter; e) Nodes are distributed randomly in abstract space, clustered under 1.5 modularity parameter; f) Nodes are placed according to their approximate geographic location in the Aegean, clustered under 1.5 modularity parameter. This image is available in a larger format online at www.cambridge.org/loy. [216]

5.6 SNA visualisation of the sites clustered according to similar writing styles, 600–550 BC: a) Nodes are placed according to their approximate geographic location in the Aegean, clustered under 0.5 modularity parameter; b) Nodes are distributed randomly in abstract space, clustered under 1.0 modularity parameter; c) Nodes are placed according to their approximate geographic location in the Aegean, clustered under 1.0 modularity parameter; d) Nodes are distributed randomly in abstract space, clustered under 1.5 modularity parameter; e) Nodes are placed according to their approximate geographic location in the Aegean, clustered

under 1.5 modularity parameter. This image is available in a larger format online at www.cambridge.org/loy. [221]
5.7 SNA visualisation of the sites clustered according to similar writing styles, 550–500 BC: a) Nodes are placed according to their approximate geographic location in the Aegean, clustered under 0.5 modularity parameter; b) Nodes are distributed randomly in abstract space, clustered under 1.0 modularity parameter; c) Nodes are placed according to their approximate geographic location in the Aegean, clustered under 1.0 modularity parameter; d) Nodes are distributed randomly in abstract space, clustered under 1.5 modularity parameter; e) Nodes are placed according to their approximate geographic location in the Aegean, clustered under 1.5 modularity parameter. [226]
5.8 Sanctuary of Apollo at Delphi, view from the theatre looking down the mountain. Photograph by author. [231]
5.9 Sites at which writing was found 550–500 BC by context category: a) bin one, small personal objects; b) bin two, dedications; c) bin three, short texts giving information intended to be read (e.g. boundary stones, *stelai*); d) bin four, longer texts for record (e.g. building records); e) bin five, public law codes [238]
5.10 Slabs of the law code, Gortyn. Photograph by author. [243]
6.1 Sanctuary of Poseidon at Kalaureia, as it can be seen today. Most of the standing remains across the site date after the end of the Archaic period. Photograph by author. [253]
6.2 View from Samos across the Mykale strait to the Anatolian mainland, comprising part of the region of Ionia. Photograph by author. [257]
6.3 View from the Sanctuary of Aphaia on Aegina indicating both the distance from the sanctuary to the sea and the steep topography that must be traversed to reach the site from the coast. Photograph by author. [271]

Tables

1.1 Sites discussed in this book, according to the materials available for analysis in each case. [*page* 22]
2.1 Ratio of body part to full whole body (after http://www .exrx.net/Kinesiology/Segments.html) [42]
2.2 Reconstructed height and tonnage of statues from a) Ptoion Sanctuary b) Athenian Acropolis c) Delos. Additional information on date and marble type is included where available. [47]
2.3 Estimate for the total marble tonnage in freestanding statue record a) organised by place of consumption b) organised by place of production. [63]
2.4 Marble source and statue destination pairs. A relative route weight is calculated on the basis of marble tonnage conveyed between each pair as a proportion of the total marble tonnage. Weight is a term relative to the total quantity of marble transported across the whole of the Archaic period. [76]
2.5 Estimated temple stone tonnages for groups of buildings discussed throughout this chapter. a) Archaic period temples b) Fifth century temples. [84]
3.1 Number of discrete pottery units (sherds or vessels) available for study by period for sites discussed in this chapter. [92]
3.2 Proportion (expressed as a percentage) of wares in the total ceramic assemblage from each site a) 700–650 BC b) 650–600 BC c) 600–550 BC d) 550–500 BC. [96]
4.1 Coin hoards dated to the seventh and sixth centuries. Sources are Thompson, Mørkholm and Kraay 1973 (TMK), and numbered editions of *Coin Hoards* (CH 1.3 = *Coin Hoards* 1, entry no. 3, etc.). [149]
4.2 Sites minting coins throughout the sixth century BC, with weight standards used for each. [161]
4.3 Sites for producing amphoras throughout the Archaic period, according to the dataset used in the previous chapter. [177]

5.1 Sites for which writing containing the target lettersets for analysis has been found. [196]
5.2 Number of inscriptions analysed by period. Inscriptions that are dated across two 'periods' (e.g. 600–525 BC) are counted more than once. [200]
5.3 Alphabet groups after Kirchhoff, organised by most frequently occurring shape and approximate sound values. Letter shapes under investigation in this chapter are highlighted. [203]
5.4 Number of inscriptions in period four (550–500 BC) by finds context category. Bin one: small personal objects. Bin two: dedications. Bin three: short texts giving information intended to be read (e.g. boundary stones, *stelai*). Bin four: longer texts for record (e.g. building records). Bin five: public law codes. [237]
A1.1 Dataset of freestanding statues and statue fragments. 'RichterKouroi' is Richter 1942, 'RichterKorai' is Richter 1968, 'MeyerBrueggemann' is Meyer and Brüggemann 2007, 'Karakasi' is Karakasi 2003.
A2.1 Bibliographic list of sources consulted to produce the ceramics dataset used in this chapter.
A2.2 Number of discrete pottery units (sherds or vessels) analysed by ware a) 600–550 BC funerary sites b) 550–500 BC funerary sites c) 700–650 BC sanctuary sites d) 650–600 BC sanctuary sites e) 600–550 BC sanctuary sites f) 550–500 BC sanctuary sites g) 700–650 BC urban sites h) 650–600 BC urban sites i) 600–550 BC urban sites j) 550–500 BC urban sites.
A2.3 Number of discrete pottery units (sherds or vessels) analysed by shape a) 600–550 BC funerary sites b) 550–500 BC funerary sites c) 700–650 BC sanctuary sites d) 650–600 BC sanctuary sites e) 600–550 BC sanctuary sites f) 550–500 BC sanctuary sites g) 700–650 BC urban sites h) 650–600 BC urban sites i) 600–550 BC urban sites j) 550–500 BC urban sites.
A3.1 Dataset of coins. Source reference refers to the *Handbooks of Coins* edited by Oliver Hoover. 6/427 is Volume 6, coin 427 etc.
A4.1 Dataset of inscriptions and lettersets. Abbreviations for inscription sources are given in the 'list of abbreviations'. Numbered inscriptions without a header come from *LSAG*.