

Taming the algorithm

**The right not to be subject to an automated decision
in the General Data Protection Regulation**

Dissertation
der Rechtswissenschaftlichen Fakultät
der Universität Zürich
zur Erlangung der Würde eines Doktors der Rechtswissenschaft

vorgelegt von

Paweł Kuch
aus Polen

genehmigt auf Antrag von
Prof. Dr. Florent Thouvenin
und
Prof. Dr. Felix Uhlmann

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments	V
Table of contents	VII
Abbreviations	XI
Bibliography	XIII
Materials	XXV
Table of figures	XXXIII
Chapter 1 Setting the goals	1
1.1. Background	1
1.2. Research focus	2
1.3. Research objectives	5
1.4. Adopted methodology	6
1.5. Aim of the research	7
1.6. Value of the research	10
Chapter 2 Genesis of the European personal data protection laws and automated decision-making provisions	11
2.1. Common roots of privacy and data protection	11
2.1.1. Concepts of privacy and data protection	12
2.1.2. Emancipation of data protection from privacy right	15
2.2. Evolution and ever-growing fundamentalism of the data protection rights	18
2.2.1. Evolution of data protection rights	18
2.2.2. Data protection as a fundamental right in the EU legal framework	20
2.2.3. Non-prohibitive character of EU data protection laws	23
2.2.4. Empowerment of the supervisory authorities	24
2.3. Rationale for including automated decision-making provisions in data protection laws	25
2.3.1. Roots of automated decision-making provisions	25
2.3.2. Historical views	26
2.3.3. Data Protection Directive's travaux préparatoires perspective	28
2.3.4. Anthropocentric perspective	29
Chapter 3 Main interpretational rules, methods and principles concerning European Union legislation	33
3.1. Independent legal system	33

3.2. Main interpretational methods, and principles concerning European Union legislation	34
3.2.1. Grammatical method.....	34
3.2.2. Systematic or contextual interpretation; the principle of effectiveness (effet utile)	35
3.2.3. Teleological interpretation	37
3.2.4. Subjective or historical interpretation; travaux préparatoires	39
3.3. Summary	41
Chapter 4 Role of recitals	45
4.1. Non-binding effect of a preamble; the purpose of recitals.....	45
4.2. Recitals in the GDPR	47
4.3. Recitals referring to Art. 22 GDPR	49
Chapter 5 Guidelines on Automated individual decision-making and Profiling for the purposes of the GDPR.....	53
5.1. Guidelines on Art. 22 GDPR.....	53
5.2. Position of guidelines in the EU legal framework.....	53
5.3. Controversial genesis of the A29WP Guidelines.....	55
5.4. Controversial content of Guidelines; sophistries of the A29WP.....	56
Chapter 6 Current state of research	63
6.1. “General prohibition of automated decision-making”	63
6.2. Confusions regarding Art. 22 GDPR.....	65
Scholarly authors.....	65
Dreyer and Schulz	65
Mendoza and Bygrave.....	70
Kaminski	73
Wachter, Mittelstadt, Floridi	74
Tosoni.....	77
Commentary authors.....	79
Esser, Kramer, Lewinski	79
Gola.....	80
Martini	81
Chapter 7 Automated individual decision-making, including profiling, and its legal meaning; Art. 22(1) GDPR	83
7.1. Definition of automated individual decision-making.....	83
7.1.1. Insignificant disparities of automated decision-making definitions under Art. 15(1) DPD and Art. 22(1) GDPR.....	83
7.1.2. Components of Art. 22(1) GDPR explained	86
7.2. Profiling.....	92
7.2.1. Distinguishing profiling in the GDPR.....	92
7.2.2. Risks regarding profiling.....	93
7.2.3. Automated form of processing	95

7.2.4. Personal data.....	96
7.2.5. Evaluating the personal aspects of a natural person	98
Chapter 8 Employing the CJEU canon for interpretation of Art. 22 GDPR	101
8.1. Grammatical interpretation of Art. 22 GDPR.....	101
8.2. Contextual interpretation of Art. 22 GDPR	103
8.3. Teleological interpretation of Art. 22 GDPR	104
8.4. Historical interpretation of Art. 22 GDPR	109
Chapter 9 Provisions influencing automated individual decision-making.....	113
9.1. The decision – a focal point of derogation under Art. 22(2); the GDPR principles perspective	113
9.2. Lawfulness of processing; relation between Art. 6(1) and Art. 22(2) GDPR.....	116
9.2.1. Principle of lawfulness.....	116
9.2.2. Relation between Art. 6(1) and Art. 22(2) GDPR.....	117
9.3. Minimum requirements to safeguard personal data – Art. 22(3) GDPR	123
9.3.1. The right to human intervention.....	126
9.3.2. The A29WP views on the right to human intervention	128
9.3.3. Human intervention – a requirement to maintain anthropocentric legal consensus	130
9.3.4. The right to express the individual's point of view	130
9.3.5. The right to contest the decision	131
9.4. General prohibition of using an individual's sensitive personal data for automated decision-making purposes – Art. 22(4) GDPR.....	133
9.5. Obligation to inform individuals about the existence of automated decision-making (Article 13, 14 and 15 of the GDPR) and “the right to explanation”.....	134
9.5.1. Principle of transparency	134
9.5.2. Individualized transparency	135
9.5.3. Systemic transparency.....	137
9.5.4. Supportive role of the right to be informed and the right to explanation in keeping the algorithmic decision-making accountable	138
Chapter 10 Summary and conclusions.....	141
10.1. Research objectives – introduction	141
10.2. Research objectives – findings.....	142
10.3. Research objectives – conclusions.....	143
Primary objectives	143
Secondary objectives	148
10.4. Recommendations	151
10.5. Contribution to knowledge	153
Abstract	155
Curriculum Vitae	157

Table of figures

Fig. 3.1
 Methods of interpretation, source: Brugger, p. 403 42

Fig. 6.1
 Decision tree on the scope of application of Art. 22(1) GDPR,
 source: Dreyer/Schulz, p. 20 69

Fig. 7.1
 Comparison of wording of Art. 22(1) GDPR and Art. 15(1) DPD
 (own graphic) 83

Fig. 9.1
 Internal structure of Art. 22 GDPR (own graphic) 115

Fig. 9.2
 Relation between Art. 6(1) and Art. 22(2) GDPR (own graphic) 118

Fig. 9.3
 Decision tree on the scope of application of Art. 22(1) GDPR,
 source: own graphic based on Dreyer/Schulz, p. 20 122