

# Building the Classical World

*Bauforschung as a Contemporary Approach*

*Edited by*

DORIAN BORBONUS  
AND  
ELISHA ANN DUMSER

OXFORD  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

# Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	vii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xvii
<i>Contributors List</i>	xix
<i>Abbreviations</i>	xxiii
Introduction: <i>Bauforschung</i> as a Contemporary Approach	1
1. <i>Bauforschung</i> and <i>Stadtforschung</i> : The Interscalar Dynamics of Augustus' Building Program in Rome's Subura <i>Margaret M. Andrews</i>	25
2. The Augustan Aqueduct of Minturnae in Southern Latium <i>Hansgeorg Bankel</i>	43
3. Building Practices and Historical Context in Augustan Columbarium Tombs <i>Dorian Borbonus</i>	56
4. The Influence of Reuse on Architectural Practices in Late Imperial Rome <i>Elisha Ann Dumser</i>	74
5. Modeling Hermogenes: An Experiment of <i>Bauforschung</i> in Three Dimensions <i>Samuel Holzman</i>	94
6. Roofing Without Timber: The Tower of the Winds in Athens <i>Hermann J. Kienast</i>	107
7. Forgotten Constructions: Wide-spanning Trusses in Imperial Rome <i>Alexander von Kienlin</i>	118
8. Two Terracotta Vaulting Elements: Tracing Technology Transfer in the Western Mediterranean, 3rd Century BCE–2nd Century CE <i>Lynne C. Lancaster</i>	134
9. Constructing, Deconstructing, and Reconstructing the "Temple of Dionysus" in Side, Pamphylia <i>Katja Piesker</i>	152
10. Large Stones—Big Challenge? <i>Klaus Rheidt</i>	170
11. Virtual Collapse? Considerations of Structure in Reconstructing Greek Architecture <i>Mark Wilson Jones and Georg Herdt</i>	189

12. Creating “Hanging Gardens” <i>Ulrike Wulf-Rheidt</i>	218
13. Reconstructions of Roman Polychrome Architecture: Evidence, Ideal, and Ideology <i>Stephan Zink</i>	236
<i>Index</i>	271

# List of Figures

To locate the full citation for a publication, please refer to the bibliography of the chapter in which the illustration appears.

Cover: Baalbek, Temple of Jupiter, view from the south. Photograph by Daniel Lohmann.

Figure 0.1	Athens, Acropolis, Erechtheion as drawn by Stuart and Revett. From Stuart and Revett 1787, pl. 21.	4
Figure 0.2	Athens, Acropolis, Erechtheion as drawn by Stuart and Revett. From Stuart and Revett 1787, pl. 18.	5
Figure 0.3	Edfoù (Apollinopolis Magna). From <i>Description de l’Egypte</i> 1821, I. pl. 48.	6
Figure 0.4	Babylon, “The Trench West of the South Citadel under Excavation.” From Koldewey 1913, 132 fig. 83.	8
Figure 1.1	Rome, Upper Subura with Clivus Suburanus. a: Porticus Liviae, b: Sanctuary of Juno Lucina, c: Anio Vetus, d: Porta Esquilina, e: Lacus Orphei, f: Shrine of Mercury. Drawing by author.	26
Figure 1.2	Rome, Porta Esquilina, west face. Photograph by author.	32
Figure 1.3	Rome, Shrine of Mercury, state plan. Drawing by author.	33
Figure 1.4	Rome, Shrine of Mercury, hypothetical reconstruction of the Republican shrine. Drawing by author.	34
Figure 1.5	The three known inscriptions belonging to Augustan New Year’s dedications. Drawing by author.	35
Figure 1.6	Detail of statue base with unfinished mortise on upper face. Photograph by author.	36
Figure 1.7	Rome, Shrine of Mercury, reconstruction drawing of the Augustan shrine with game boards visible on the precinct floor adjacent to the statue base. Drawing by author.	37
Figure 2.1	Minturnae, aerial photograph taken after the main excavation in 1932. Courtesy of the Penn Museum, image #3671.	44
Figure 2.2	Minturnae, façade of the <i>area sacra</i> as seen from the Via Appia in the 2nd century CE. Author’s reconstruction drawn by V. Hinz and S. Franz.	44
Figure 2.3	Sketch of the aqueduct at Minturnae by J. W. von Goethe in 1787 (inv. no. GGz/0346, Bestand Museen, Klassik Stiftung Weimar). Courtesy of the Klassik Stiftung Weimar, Bestand Museen, Inv.-Nr.: GGz/0346.	45
Figure 2.4	Minturnae, <i>castellum aquae</i> above the city gate. Author’s reconstruction drawn by V. Hinz and S. Franz.	46
Figure 2.5	Minturnae, aqueduct piers with brick markers indicated by an arrow. Illustration by T. Strellen.	47

Figure 2.6	Minturnae, hypothetical reconstruction of the aqueduct. Author's reconstruction drawn by V. Hinz and S. Franz.	47
Figure 2.7	Minturnae, longitudinal section of the aqueduct indicating the levels of the brick markers and the bottom of the <i>specus</i> . Illustration by T. Strellen.	50
Figure 2.8	Minturnae, brick markers on the aqueduct: a-b: markers on the upper end of piers 39 and 50; c-f: markers between reticulated fields on piers 5, 23, 24 and 22; g and i: markers adjacent to reticulated fields on piers 17 and 21; h: reduced reticulated field on pier 33. Illustration by T. Strellen.	52
Figure 2.9	Minturnae, reconstruction of a <i>castellum aquae</i> leaning against the city wall. Author's hypothetical reconstruction drawn by V. Hinz and S. Franz.	53
Figure 3.1	Rome, Via Appia, columbarium near the Sepulcrum Scipionum, looking west. Photograph by author.	57
Figure 3.2	Rome, Via Appia, drawing of the so-called Columbarium libertorum Augusti by G. B. Piranesi. From Piranesi 1756, pl. 40 ( <a href="http://arachne.uni-koeln.de/item/buchseite/470956">arachne.uni-koeln.de/item/buchseite/470956</a> ).	58
Figure 3.3	Rome, Via Appia, columbarium near the Sepulcrum Scipionum, north wall. Photograph by author.	59
Figure 3.4	Centralized buildings in or near Rome. Drawing after Wilson Jones 2000, 75 fig. 4.7, with permission.	60
Figure 3.5	Rome, Esquiline, Monument C, archival photograph of the south wall. Courtesy of the BSR Photographic Archive, John Henry Parker Collection, jhp-2263.	61
Figure 3.6	Rome, Via Appia, Columbarium 1 in the Vigna Codini. Drawing from Campana 1840, pl. 10r.	63
Figure 3.7	Hector Leroux, <i>Funérailles au Columbarium de la maison des Césars, porte Capène à Rome</i> , 1864 (Musée d'Orsay, Paris, inv. no. RF1986-78). © RMN-Grand Palais / Art Resource, NY.	65
Figure 4.1	Rome, Forum Romanum, nave of the Basilica Paulli. Photograph by author.	75
Figure 4.2	Rome, Basilica Nova. Photograph by author.	78
Figure 4.3	Rome, Basilica Nova, reconstructed plan. Drawing by author.	79
Figure 4.4	Rome, nave column from the Basilica Nova now in the Piazza di S. Maria Maggiore. Photograph by author.	80
Figure 4.5	Rome, Basilica Nova, foundations of the south wall laid directly atop remains of the Horrea Piperataria. Photograph by author.	83
Figure 4.6	Rome, Maxentius' Templum Romae. Photograph by author.	83
Figure 4.7	Rome, reconstructed plan of the Maxentian Templum Romae. Drawing by author.	84
Figure 4.8	Bronze coin with a reverse type of Roma handing a globe to Maxentius, minted in Rome, 308–310 CE ( <i>RIC</i> VI. Rome.213; British Museum 1909,0901.152). © The Trustees of the British Museum.	84
Figure 4.9	Rome, Templum Romae, west cella with significant restoration. Photograph by author.	85

Figure 5.1	Comparison of peripteral, dipteral, and pseudodipteral temple plans. Drawing by author.	95
Figure 5.2	Magnesia, Temple of Artemis Leukophryene, line drawings of the façade and plan by Kohte juxtaposed at same scale. After Humann, Kohte, and Watzinger 1904, figs. 32 and 30.	96
Figure 5.3	Magnesia, Temple of Artemis Leukophryene, cutaway view through the 3D model showing how it unites the façade elevation and the plan. Rendering by author.	97
Figure 5.4	Reflected ceiling plan presenting alternative reconstructions of coffer arrangements in the widened corners of a pseudodipteral colonnade: right side based on the Hephaisteion, Athens and the left on the Temple of Zeus, Aizanoi. Drawing by author.	98
Figure 5.5	Giovanni Paolo Panini's <i>Interior of the Pantheon, Rome</i> , c.1734 (National Gallery of Art 1939.1.24) has a distorted perspective in order to capture the encompassing sensation of the large dome. Courtesy of the National Gallery of Art, Washington.	100
Figure 5.6	Rackstraw Downes, "Untenanted Space with Four Exposures, World Trade Center" 1998 (private collection; New York, NY). Downes' panorama shows all four sides of the building to capture a ring-shaped interior space. © 2020 Rackstraw Downes/Artists Rights Society (ARS), New York.	101
Figure 5.7	Magnesia, Temple of Artemis Leukophryene, wide-angle panorama of the interior viewed from the southwest corner of the colonnade. Rendering by author.	102
Figure 5.8	Comparison of panoramic views from the southwest corners of (1) the Hephaisteion in Athens and (2) the Temple of Artemis at Magnesia. Photograph and rendering by author. Photograph used by permission of the Ephorate of Antiquities of Athens City, Ancient Agora; copyright © Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports—Archaeological Receipts Fund.	103
Figure 5.9	Magnesia, Temple of Artemis Leukophryene, wide-angle panorama viewed at the moment of entering the façade through the southernmost intercolumniation with partially removed corner column to give a fuller range of view. Rendering by author.	103
Figure 6.1	Athens, Tower of the Winds, view from southeast. Photograph by author.	108
Figure 6.2	Athens, Tower of the Winds, ground plan superimposed with the design scheme. Drawing by author.	109
Figure 6.3	Athens, Tower of the Winds, diagram of the lowest course of relief blocks. Gray: longer blocks with corners that were set into place first; white: blocks with miter joints so that they can be set in place in a second phase. Drawing by author.	110
Figure 6.4	Athens, Tower of the Winds, steps taken to install the mitered blocks in the lowest course of the relief. Drawing by author.	111
Figure 6.5	Athens, Tower of the Winds, roof construction. Drawing by author.	112
Figure 6.6	Athens, Tower of the Winds, section drawing of a roof block, with a detail of the sima. Drawing by author.	112

Figure 6.7	Athens, Tower of the Winds, general scheme of the roof which had an octagonal pyramid on the exterior and a dome-like cone on the interior. Drawing by author.	113
Figure 6.8	Athens, Tower of the Winds, upper zone of the chamber with an interior epistyle as shown in a physical model of the tower. Drawing by author.	114
Figure 6.9	Athens, Tower of the Winds, diagram showing both the distinct joints of final slab (no. 24) as well as its divergence from the center point of the roof. Drawing by author.	115
Figure 7.1	Rome, Pantheon, section of entry hall drawn by Palladio. From Palladio 1570, 77.	119
Figure 7.2	Rome, Pantheon, longitudinal section of the entry hall drawn by Palladio. From Palladio 1570, 76.	120
Figure 7.3	Rome, Pantheon, detail of entry hall truss as drawn by Palladio. From Palladio 1570, 77.	121
Figure 7.4	Kibyra, Odeion, demolition material of the truss. Photograph by author.	122
Figure 7.5	Kibyra, Odeion. Drawing by author based on documentation by Burdur University.	122
Figure 7.6	Kibyra, Odeion, roof covering. Photograph by author.	123
Figure 7.7	Kibyra, Odeion, large iron belt. Photograph by author.	124
Figure 7.8	Kibyra, Odeion, large iron belt. Drawing by author.	125
Figure 7.9	Kibyra, Odeion, function of the large iron belt. Drawing by author.	125
Figure 7.10	Kibyra, Odeion, smaller iron belt. Photograph by author.	126
Figure 7.11	Kibyra, Odeion, function of the small iron belt. Drawing by author.	127
Figure 7.12	Kibyra, Odeion, fragments of a U-shaped iron belt. Photograph by author.	127
Figure 7.13	Kibyra, Odeion, reconstruction of a U-shaped iron belt. Drawing by author.	128
Figure 7.14	Kibyra, Odeion, fragments of bands and fittings. Photograph by author.	128
Figure 7.15	Athens, Odeion of Herodes Atticus, reconstruction of the main roof construction. Courtesy of the Technische Universität Braunschweig.	129
Figure 7.16	Rome, Temple of Mars Ultor drawn by Palladio. From Palladio 1570, 19.	130
Figure 7.17	Rome, Pantheon, entry hall truss as drawn by Angiolo Pasinelli. From Pasinelli 1747, pl. II–III.	131
Figure 8.1	Drawings of the two vaulting systems discussed. A: nozzle-type vaulting tubes. B: ribs of armchair voussoirs spanned by tiles. Drawing by author.	135
Figure 8.2	Map showing distribution of vaulting tubes and vaulting pots in kilns. Map by author.	135
Figure 8.3	Plans of bath buildings mentioned in the text (drawn to the same scale). a: Fregellae. b: Morgantina. c: Cabrera de Mar. d: Baetulo. e: Olbia. f: Gaujac. Drawing by author, based on (a) Tsiolis 2013, fig. 2; (b) Lucore 2013, fig. 6; (c) Martín 2000, fig. 1; (d) Guitart Durán 1976; (e) Bouet 2006, fig. 2; and (f) Charmasson 2003, fig. 6 and Bouet 2003, fig. 78.	136
Figure 8.4	Chart showing development of vaulting tube typology and vaulting pots. Place names underlined indicate Christian contexts. Illustration by author.	137

Figure 8.5	Map showing distribution of armchair voussoirs. Map by author.	138
Figure 8.6	Vault made of terracotta bars with detail of bars found at the Republican baths at Fregellae, Italy (3rd–2nd century BCE) and a similar type bar found at a workshop in Massa (late 2nd–mid 1st century BCE). All measurements indicated in meters. Drawing by author, based on Tsiolis 2001; Tsiolis 2006; details of Massa bar provided by E. J. Shepherd.	139
Figure 8.7	Cabrera de Mar, Bath, author's reconstruction of the <i>caldarium</i> ceiling of vaulting tubes (mid-2nd century BCE). Rendering by author.	141
Figure 8.8	Baetulo, Baths, reconstruction of terracotta ceiling of the <i>caldarium</i> (first half of 1st century BCE). All measurements indicated in meters. Drawing by author, based on Guitart Durán 1976, fig. 9; photographs by author, with permission of Museum of Badalona, Badalona, Spain.	142
Figure 8.9	Baetulo, Baths, details of the ribs formed of terracotta tiles from the <i>caldarium</i> ceiling (first half of 1st century BCE), showing alterations to notches in the H-shaped rib tiles required by the inappropriate angle of the voussoirs ( <i>top left</i> ). Drawing by author; photographs by author, with permission of Museum of Badalona, Badalona, Spain.	143
Figure 8.10	Early examples of armchair voussoirs. A: Terracotta workshop at Vingone, Italy. B: Bath B at Gaujac, France. C: North Baths at Olbia. Drawing by author, based on (A) Shepherd 2008, fig. 182; (B) Bouet 1999, fig. 50d; and (C) Bouet 1999, fig. 54c.	144
Figure 9.1	Side, Temple of Dionysus, west side of the podium seen from the northwest. Photograph by author.	153
Figure 9.2	Map of Side indicating the position of the Temple of Dionysus between the theater in the southeast, the colonnaded street in the west, and the monumental arch in the northeast. Drawing by author, based on Mansel 1963 and Mansel 1978.	153
Figure 9.3	Side, Temple of Dionysus, schematic plan of the architectural finds with the four main phases: (1) initial Late Hellenistic temple in dark gray; (2) extension of the podium to the north due to the construction of the theater in the first half of the 2nd century CE in medium gray; (3) Late Roman flight of stairs in the north in light gray; and (4) Early Byzantine installations in very light gray. Plan by author.	155
Figure 9.4	Side, Temple of Dionysus, schematic site plan illustrating how the temple was affected by the construction of the theater. <i>Left</i> : Late Hellenistic temple mapped onto the theater's substructures. <i>Right</i> : possible rebuilding in the 2nd century CE. Drawing by author, based on Büyükkolancı 2008, fig. 1.	156
Figure 9.5	Side, Temple of Dionysus, trench 1/10 at the east side of the podium. The white arrow indicates a mark on the theater's substructure left by the podium's foot profile. Photograph by author.	157
Figure 9.6	Side, Temple of Dionysus, well-crafted antique repairs of the podium. <i>Left</i> : A broken podium panel was fixed with an extra set of clamps; the white arrows indicate additional clamp holes. <i>Right</i> : Two blocks of the <i>euthynteria</i> that have apparently been replaced by new ones. Photographs by author.	158

Figure 9.7	Side, Temple of Dionysus, extension of the podium to the north. <i>Left</i> : trench 2/11. A white arrow highlights where the initial eastern corner panel of the podium has an <i>anathyrosis</i> where the initial northern panel was attached to it. <i>Right</i> : Two podium panels of the extension with deep primary and shallow secondary clamp holes. Photographs by author.	159
Figure 9.8	Side, Temple of Dionysus, hypothetical reconstruction of the rebuilding in the first half of the 2nd century CE. Plan by author.	160
Figure 9.9	Side, Temple of Dionysus, trench 1/12. Photograph by author.	162
Figure 10.1	Baalbek, Temple of Jupiter, view from the south. Photograph by Daniel Lohmann.	171
Figure 10.2	Baalbek, Sheikh Abdallah quarry. During a recent joint excavation project between the German Archaeological Institute and Lebanese University underneath the “Hajjar el-Hibla” megalith, another megalith weighing c. 1,600 tons was discovered. Photograph by J. Abdul Massih.	173
Figure 10.3	Baalbek, Sanctuary of Jupiter, architectural development. Phase I: time of Herod; Phase II: early imperial; Phase III: 2nd century CE; Phase IV: late 2nd–3rd century CE. After Lohmann 2014b, figs. 163, 171, 178 and 188.	174
Figure 10.4	Baalbek, Temple of Jupiter, building elements with their weights in tons. After Wiegand 1921, pl. 22.	176
Figure 10.5	Baalbek, Temple of Bacchus, doorway with 120-ton lateral lintel blocks. After Wiegand 1923, 19 fig. 35k.	178
Figure 10.6	Baalbek, map of quarries with proposed transportation route for the huge megaliths. Photograph by author, after de Jong 2014, 47 fig. 53.	179
Figure 10.7	Baalbek, Sheikh Abdallah quarry, second megalith. Photograph by author.	179
Figure 10.8	Baalbek, map of Sheikh Abdallah quarry with the hypothetical relocation of large blocks from the Temple of Jupiter. Map from Abdul Massih 2014, 55 fig. 67.	180
Figure 10.9	Ragette’s proposed machinery to lower the blocks at the building site with lewises, pulleys, and capstans. Drawing from Ragette 1980, 118–19.	181
Figure 10.10	Hypothetical reconstruction of a mechanism to lower blocks at the building site using sandbags. a: Block arriving at building site on sledges and rollers. Preparing of rectangular peg holes. b: Transferring the load of the blocks by ropes and beams to lateral sand baskets. Smoothing of the lower surface after sledges and rollers were removed. c: Lowering the blocks by carefully opening the sand baskets. Drawing by author.	182
Figure 11.1	Early plans. The Toumba building is highlighted and labeled “Lefkandi.” Drawing by authors.	191
Figure 11.2	Doric temple plans. The temple at Corfu is highlighted and labeled “Kerkyra, Artemis.” Drawing by authors.	192
Figure 11.3	Lefkandi, Toumba building, plan, projection, and section. After J.J. Coulton, in Popham et al. 1993, Pl. 28, fig. 1, with the plan as presented in Herdt 2015, fig. 2.	193
Figure 11.4	Lefkandi, Toumba building, sections (a) after Coulton and (b) authors’ alternative proposal. Drawing by G. Herdt.	194

Figure 11.5	Greek architectural models. From Wilson Jones 2014a, fig. 2.9.	196
Figure 11.6	Lefkandi, Toumba building, 3D reconstruction. Reconstruction by G. Herdt and J. Tredinnick.	197
Figure 11.7	Nikoleika, apsidal building. Drawing by Kolia 2011, fig. 46; used with permission.	198
Figure 11.8	Corfu, Temple of Artemis, octastyle front with 15 triglyphs as restored by Hans Schleif. From Schleif 1940, Taf. 26.	200
Figure 11.9	Corfu, Temple of Artemis, octastyle front with 15 triglyphs as restored by Hans Schleif, with surviving elements shaded in blue. Drawing by G. Herdt after Schleif 1940, Taf. 26.	201
Figure 11.10	Corfu, Temple of Artemis, excavation documentation: section and state plan. From Schleif 1940, section from Abb. 6 and plan from Taf. 22.	201
Figure 11.11	Corfu, Temple of Artemis, plan as restored by Schleif. From Schleif 1940, Abb. 39.	202
Figure 11.12	Corfu, Temple of Artemis, sections through pediment and roof: (a) according to Schleif; (b) extrapolated from Schleif in another part of the pediment; (c) alternative reconstruction proposed by the authors. (a) From Schleif 1940, Abb. 92; (b) and (c) drawings by G. Herdt.	202
Figure 11.13	Corfu, Temple of Artemis, section through krepis and <i>pteron</i> : ( <i>top</i> ) according to Schleif; ( <i>bottom</i> ) alternative proposed by the authors. ( <i>Top</i> ) from Schleif 1940: Abb. 12; ( <i>bottom</i> ) drawing by G. Herdt.	204
Figure 11.14	Corfu, Temple of Artemis, detail of the krepis, with corner and part of the middle shown in plan and in elevation. Plan from Schleif 1940, detail of Taf. 22; and elevation by G. Herdt.	205
Figure 11.15	Corfu, Temple of Artemis, possible front elevations with narrower width than supposed by Schleif: (a) option with eight columns and 13 triglyphs; (b) option with six columns; and (c) option with seven columns. Drawings by G. Herdt.	206
Figure 11.16	Early Doric temples with triglyphs not aligning over columns: (a) Temple of Apollo at Syracuse; (b) old Tholos at Delphi; and (c) Monopteros at Delphi revised to show likely relationship between the epistyle blocks and column axes. (a) and (b) Drawings by G. Herdt; (c) drawing by M. Wilson Jones.	208
Figure 11.17	Corfu, Temple of Artemis, comparative plans, all drawn at the same scale: (a) plan with eight-column front and central nave after Schleif; (b) plan with seven-column front and central nave; (c) plan with seven-column front and double nave; (d) Temple of Hera I at Paestum. (a) Plan from Schleif 1940, Abb. 39; (b), (c) and (d) plans by authors.	209
Figure 11.18	Votive columns and temple fronts compared. Drawing by G. Herdt.	211
Figure 12.1	Babylon, reconstructions of the so-called Gewölbebau as a hanging garden. (a) From Robert Koldewey 1931, Taf. 7; and (b) from Fritz Krischen 1956, Taf. 8.	219

Figure 12.2	Nineveh, North Palace of Assurbanipal, Assyrian wall relief showing part of the royal garden. Drawing by Terry Ball, © Stephanie Dalley, used with permission.	220
Figure 12.3	Maarten van Heemskerck, “ <i>Babylonis Muri</i> ,” 1572, with the “hanging gardens” on the right (NGA 2011.139.92). Courtesy of the National Gallery of Art, Washington.	221
Figure 12.4	Rome, Palatine, view of the so-called <i>Domus Severiana</i> . Photograph by author.	222
Figure 12.5	Pompeii, Villa of Diomedes, reconstruction of the ‘sunken garden.’ From Maiuri and Pane 1947, Taf. 9.	223
Figure 12.6	Rome, <i>Domus Aurea</i> , hypothetical reconstruction. Drawing by J. Denking, Architekturreferat DAI Berlin.	225
Figure 12.7	Rome, Palatine, hypothetical reconstruction of the Flavian phase. Rendering by Lengyel Toulouse Architects, Berlin, <a href="http://www.lengyeltoulouse.com">www.lengyeltoulouse.com</a> .	226
Figure 12.8	Rome, Palatine, hypothetical reconstruction of the Flavian phase. Drawing by J. Denking, Architekturreferat DAI Berlin.	227
Figure 12.9	Rome, Palatine, <i>Domus Augustana</i> , hypothetical reconstruction of a Flavian peristyle with a huge water basin. Rendering by Lengyel Toulouse Architects, Berlin, <a href="http://www.lengyeltoulouse.com">www.lengyeltoulouse.com</a> .	227
Figure 12.10	Rome, Palatine, hypothetical reconstruction of the Flavian garden in the <i>Vigna Barberini</i> . Rendering by A. Müller, Architekturreferat DAI Berlin.	228
Figure 12.11	Rome, Palatine, <i>Domus Severiana</i> (Flavian), hypothetical reconstruction of a water basin. Rendering by Lengyel Toulouse Architects, Berlin, <a href="http://www.lengyeltoulouse.com">www.lengyeltoulouse.com</a> .	229
Figure 12.12	Rome, Palatine, “ <i>Garden Stadium</i> ,” hypothetical reconstruction of the Flavian phase. Drawing by J. Denking, Architekturreferat DAI Berlin.	230
Figure 13.1	Rome, Trajan’s Column (113 CE). © Matthias Kabel / Wikimedia Commons / CC-BY-SA 3.0.	238
Figure 13.2	Luigi Valadier, “ <i>Replica of Trajan’s Column. Detail of gilded relief band</i> ,” 1774–1780 (Kat. 1221, Residenz München, Schatzkammer). © Bayerische Schlösserverwaltung, Christian Quaeitzsch, München.	239
Figure 13.3	Louis Noguét, “ <i>Forum d’Auguste et temple de Mars vengeur. Restauration, façade principale</i> ,” 1868 (inv. no. ENV60-05, École nationale supérieure des Beaux-Arts, Paris). © bpk / RMN - Grand Palais/image Beaux-arts de Paris.	242
Figure 13.4	Romolo Augusto Staccioli, “ <i>The Temple of Mars Ultor, Rome</i> ” with reconstruction drawing superimposed on a photograph of the ruin (Staccioli 2001, p. 53). Reconstruction © Vision s.r.l.	243
Figure 13.5	Studio Inklinc, “ <i>Reconstruction of the Forum of Augustus with the Temple of Mars Ultor, Rome</i> ,” 2007. From Meneghini and Santangeli Valenzani 2007, fig. 36.	243

- Figure 13.6 Rome, Forum of Augustus, “Aula del Colosso,” original painted marble fragment (108 x 83 x 12cm; Ungaro 2004, fig. 387). Courtesy of the Sovrintendenza Capitolina Beni Culturali—Museo dei Fori Imperiali—Archivio Fotografico. 244
- Figure 13.7 Studio InKlink, “Reconstruction of the ‘Aula del Colosso’ in the Forum of Augustus, Rome,” 2007 (Ungaro 2007, fig. 188). Courtesy of the Sovrintendenza Capitolina Beni Culturali—Museo dei Fori Imperiali—Archivio Fotografico; autori: Studio InKLink, Lucrezia Ungaro. 245
- Figure 13.8 Josef Bühlmann and Friedrich von Thiersch, “Daily life on the Forum Romanum at the beginning of the third century,” 1901. © akg-images. 246
- Figure 13.9 “Digitales Forum Romanum” Project, “The Forum Romanum at the time of Septimius Severus (c. 210 CE), View from the East,” 2014. © digitales forum romanum: Susanne Muth, Armin Müller (2014). 246
- Figure 13.10 Gilbert Gorski and James Packer, “The Forum Romanum at the time of Septimius Severus (c. 210 CE),” 2015. From Gorski and Packer 2015, fig. 21.23, with permission. 247
- Figure 13.11 Gilbert Gorski, “Hypothetical color renderings of the southeast corner of the Arch of Septimius Severus,” 2015. Proposal 1 (*left*) has discrete coloring, and Proposal 2 (*right*) features full-fledged coloring. From Gorski and Packer 2015, figs. 7.12 and 7.13, with permission. 248
- Figure 13.12 Fausto Niccolini and Felice Niccolini, “Casa della Reg. VIII, Isola 2, Pompeii,” 1896. From Niccolini and Niccolini vol. IV, 1896, Tav. V, courtesy of the Universitätsbibliothek Heidelberg. 249
- Figure 13.13 Fausto Niccolini and Felice Niccolini, “Temple of Venus, Pompeii,” 1896. From Niccolini and Niccolini vol. IV, 1896, Tav. XIII, courtesy of the Universitätsbibliothek Heidelberg. 250
- Figure 13.14 Théodore Labrousse, “Temple de Castor et Pollux à Cora. Élévation restaurée,” 1831 (inv.no. ENV25-09, École nationale supérieure des Beaux-Arts, Paris). © bpk / RMN - Grand Palais/Agence Bulloz. 251
- Figure 13.15 Henri-Adolphe Auguste Deglane, detail of “Palais des Césars sur le Mont Palatin,” 1887, showing the Temple of Jupiter Victor on the Palatine (inv.no. ENV76-04, École nationale supérieure des Beaux-Arts, Paris). © bpk / RMN - Grand Palais/image Beaux-arts de Paris. 252
- Figure 13.16 Replica of the Late Republican Temple of Jupiter Capitolinus for the set of HBO’s “Rome” at Cinecittà Studios, 2005–2007. Cinecittà Shows Off © Erma Pictures. 253
- Figure 13.17 Gottfried Semper, “Reconstruction of a Tuscanic (or Etruscan) temple,” 1860. From Semper 1860, Pl. XIII. 254
- Figure 13.18 Adolfo Cozza, “‘Modello al vero’ of the late 3rd or early 2nd century BCE temple at Altari,” 1891 (Museo Nazionale Etrusco di Villa Giulia, Rome). Photograph by author. 256
- Figure 13.19 Giulio Ferrari, “Reconstruction of a canonical Etruscan temple,” 1925. From Ferrari 1925, Pl. XVI. 257
- Figure 13.20 Stefano Sarri, “Reconstruction model of the Tomba Ildebranda (first half of 3rd century BCE) at Sovana,” early 1990s. Photograph © Jim Forest. 258

- Figure 13.21 Massimo Palottino, Reconstruction model of the so-called Portonaccio temple, Veii, 1955 (*Museo delle Antichità Etrusche e Italiche* of La Sapienza University in Rome). © akg-images / Pirozzi. 259
- Figure 13.22 G. Foglia, “Model of Temple A (c. 480 BCE) at Pyrgi,” 1985 (*Museo Nazionale Etrusco di Villa Giulia, Rome*). © Jérémy-Günther-Heinz Jähnck/Wikimedia Commons & Louvre-Lens / GFDL-1.2. 259

## Table

- Table 4.1 Tetrarchal and Maxentian commissions in Rome known to have reused architectural marbles 76